

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH

* * * *

CASE NO. W.P.No.36633 of 2012

Between:

Yerra Venkata Lakshmi

..Petitioner

.. Petitioner

and

The District Collector, Visakhapatnam and others

.. Respondents

DATE OF JUDGMENT PRONOUNCED: 13.08.2015

SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL:

-
-

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY

- | | |
|---|--------|
| 1. Whether Reporters of Local newspapers may be allowed to see the Judgments? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether the copies of judgment may be marked to Law Reporters/Journals? | Yes/No |
| 3. Whether Their Ladyship/Lordship wish to see the fair copy of the Judgment? | Yes/No |

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

-
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.36633 of 2012

-
13.08.2015

Between:

Yerra Venkata Lakshmi

..Petitioner

.. Petitioner

and

The District Collector, Visakhapatnam and others

.. Respondents

Counsel for the petitioner: Ms.Sneha Sindu for Mr.P.Sri Ram

Counsel for the respondents: Assistant Government Pleader
for Civil Supplies
(AP)

-
The Court made the following:

-

-

-

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed for a *mandamus* to set aside proceedings in Rc.No.4366/2012-13/C/Dt.17.11.2012 of respondent No.2, whereby he has suspended the petitioner's fair price shop authorization.

By order, dated 28.11.2012, this Court suspended the impugned proceedings.

At the hearing, learned Assistant Government Pleader for Civil Supplies (AP), on instructions, submitted that in pursuance of the interim order passed by this Court, the petitioner is being continued as the fair price shop dealer and that the enquiry initiated against her is still pending.

Inasmuch as more than 2½ years had elapsed from the time of suspension of the impugned proceedings and the petitioner is being continued on the strength of such interim order, it is in the interests of justice that the petitioner shall be continued till the enquiry is completed and final order is passed by respondent No.2.

Accordingly, impugned proceedings, dated 17.11.2012, of respondent No.2, are set aside and respondent No.2 is directed to complete the enquiry, pass a final order and communicate the same to the petitioner. Till respondent No.2 completes the enquiry and passes a final order, the petitioner shall be continued as the fair price shop dealer.

The Writ Petition is accordingly disposed of.

As a sequel to the disposal of the Writ Petition, W.P.M.P.No.46508 of 2012 filed by the petitioner for interim relief shall stand disposed of.

C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY, J

13th August, 2015

GHN