

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH**

CONTEMPT CASE No.1757 of 2015

Between:

Smt. Patoju Indira Devi and others

...Petitioners

and

Sri J.Nivas

...Respondent

DATE OF JUDGMENT PRONOUNCED: 11.09.2015

SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY

-

1. Whether Reporters of Local newspapers
may be allowed to see the Judgments? Yes/No
2. Whether the copies of judgment
may be marked to Law Reporters/Journals? Yes/No
3. Whether Their Ladyship/Lordship wish to
see the fair copy of the Judgment? Yes/No

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY

CONTEMPT CASE No.1757 of 2015

-

Between:

Smt. Patoju Indira Devi and others

...Petitioners

and

Sri J.Nivas

...Respondent

-

COUNSEL FOR THE PETITIONERS : SRI M.V.HANUMANTHA RAO

COUNSEL FOR THE RESPONDENT : NONE APPEARS

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY

CONTEMPT CASE No.1757 of 2015

-

ORDER:

This Contempt Case is wholly misconceived as Contempt Case No.1480 of 2015 filed by the petitioners with the grievance that no final order was passed as directed by this Court in Writ Petition No.22134 of 2014, was disposed of by placing on record the fact that final order was passed on 15.07.2015.

In the present Contempt Case, the petitioners pleaded that though final order was passed on 15.07.2015, before passing such order, no enquiry was conducted under Section 11(a) of the Andhra Pradesh (Andhra Area) Estates (Abolition and Conversion into Ryotwari) Act, 1948 (for short 'the Act').

In my opinion, if the final order passed by the respondent is not in accordance with the provisions of Section 11(a) of the Act, proper remedy for the petitioners is to question the same by invoking statutory remedy, as already observed by this Court while dismissing Contempt Case No.1480 of 2015.

For the above-mentioned reasons, the Contempt Case is dismissed.

C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY, J

11th SEPTEMBER, 2015.

kvni