

**HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA
PRADESH**

MONDAY, THE TWENTY THIRD DAY OF MARCH,
TWO THOUSAND AND FIFTEEN

:PRESENT:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.RAJASHEKER REDDY

WP .NO: 2983 of 2015

Between:

Smt. Donga Anantalakshmi, W/o.Sreenivas,
Housewife, R/o.1-15, Dirisimarru Village, Bhimavaram Mandal.

..... Petitioner

AND

- 1 The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary,
Panchayatraj & Rural Dept., Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.
- 2 The Tahasildar, Bhimavaram Mandal, Bhimavaram, W. G. District.
- 3 The Gollavanithippa Grampanchayat, Rep. by its Sarpanch,
Gollavanithippa Village, Bhimavaram Mandal, W.G. District.

.....Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein, the High Court may be pleased to issue an order or orders, direction or directions, Writ or Writs, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus and declare the actions of the respondents in laying pipe line through petitioner's house situated in Plot No.14B, in Sy. No.38 A of Dirisimarru Village, without any proceedings is illegal, arbitrary and violative of Article 14 & 21 of the Constitution of India and against the enjoyment certificate issued by the MRO, Bhimavaram vide ROC No.290/99/(D), dtd.06-10-2001 consequently direct the respondents not to lay the pipe line through the residential house belongs to petitioner.

WPMP.NO: 3988 of 2015

Petition under Section 151 of C.P.C. Praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed in the W.P., the High Court may be pleased to direct the respondents not to lay pipe line through the petitioner's residential place depriving the peaceful possession and enjoyment of their residential house situated in Plot No.14B, in Sy.No.38 A of Dirisimarru Village, pending disposal of WP No.2983 of 2015 on the file of the High Court.

The petition coming on for hearing, upon perusing the Petition and the affidavit filed herein and order of the High Court dated 12-02-2015 & 20-02-2015 made herein and upon hearing the arguments of Sri K.L.N. Swamy, Advocate for the Petitioner, G.P. for Panchayat Raj (AP) for Respondent No.1, G.P. for Revenue (AP) for Respondent No.2 and Sri Ravi Cheemalapati, learned Standing Counsel for Respondent No.3, the Court made the following

ORDER:

Learned counsel for petitioner seeks time to file reply.
Post after one week in Motion List.

Interim orders granted earlier shall stands extended till then.

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

//TRUE COPY//

For ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

To

- 1 One CC to Sri K.L.N. Swamy, Advocate (OPUC)
- 2 Two CCs to the G.P. for Panchayat Raj (AP), High Court, Hyderabad. (OUT)
- 3 Two CCs to the G.P. for Revenue (AP), High Court, Hyderabad. (OUT)
- 4 One spare copy.

Tvr

HIGH COURT

ARR,J

DATED: 23-03-2015

NOTE: post after one week in motion list

ORDER

W.P.NO. 2983 OF 2015

DIRECTION EXTENDED

**Drafted: tvr
Dated: 25-03-2015**

HIGH COURT

ARR,J

DATED: 23-03-2015

NOTE: post after one week in motion list

ORDER

W.P.NO. 2983 OF 2015

DIRECTION EXTENDED