

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA & THE STATE OF ANDHRA

PRADESH
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIFTEEN

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR

WRIT PETITION No. 6162 of 2015

BETWEEN

Thummalapally Bhagya Lakshmi

... PETITIONER

AND

The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary (Department of Home), A.P. Secretariat Building, Hyderabad and others.

...RESPONDENTS

The Court made the following:

-
-

ORDER:

Heard.

2. On the complaint of the petitioner that there were attempts of trespass, a case in crime No.400 of 2014 was registered on 08.10.2014 against the accused before Ibrahimpatnam Police Station. Even according to the petitioner, the said crime was investigated and final report, dated 04.02.2015 was already filed before IV Metropolitan Magistrate, Cyberabad at Ibrahimpatnam. The final report/charge sheet is yet to be examined by the said court. Petitioner states that though the aforesaid charge sheet discloses

that there was a trespass and damage to the fencing on the petitioner's land by A1 to A7, the said accused are continuing to commit the same offence even now and the request of the petitioner is not being considered by respondent No.3. Hence, she filed the present writ petition.

3. Evidently, the police have investigated the complaint of the petitioner and have filed a charge sheet. Matter, therefore, is pending before the competent criminal court for taking appropriate decision with regard to the said report. If the petitioner has any further grievance regarding any subsequent attempts by any person, petitioner has to make a written complaint before respondent No.3.

No such complaint is filed along with the writ petition nor it is averred that any such complaint is filed. Hence, it is not possible to take cognizance of the petitioner's allegations alone. If the petitioner was so desirous, it is open for her to make appropriate complaint before the concerned police station either personally or by registered post with acknowledgment due and if such a complaint is received, respondent No.3 would take appropriate steps in accordance with law.

Writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of. As a sequel, the miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR, J

March 10, 2015
Lmv