

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA & THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIFTEEN

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR

WRIT PETITION No.2675 of 2015

BETWEEN

Valugu Anusha and another

... PETITIONERS

AND

The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Department of Home, A.P. Secretariat Building, Hyderabad and others.

...RESPONDENTS

The Court made the following:

-
-

ORDER:

Heard.

2. Petitioners approached this court with the present writ petition alleging that respondent Nos.4 and 5 are interfering with their family life and liberty at the instance of respondent Nos.6 to 9 and sought a Mandamus. Petitioners state in the affidavit that both of them are majors and that they were in love and are married each other on 06.02.2015 at Sri Mahanadeshwara Swamy Devasthanam, Mahanandi, Kurnool District, Andhra Pradesh. However, the said inter caste marriage is not in the liking of respondent Nos.6, 7 and 8, who are parents and maternal uncle of petitioner No.1, and respondent Nos.9 and 10, who are cousins and related to father of petitioner No.1.

It is stated that the aforesaid private party respondents are forcing petitioner No.1 to rejoin the family leaving petitioner No.2.

3. Instructions of the learned Government Pleader, however, show that on the complaint of one Pesari Ravinder Reddy regarding missing of petitioner No.1, crime No.131 of 2015 was registered on 05.02.2015. While the said case is pending investigation, petitioner No.1 appeared before respondent No.5-police station on 14.02.2015 and gave a statement that she, being a major, married petitioner No.2 on 06.02.2015 and in view of that, the complainant in the said Crime No.131 of 2015 also requested respondent No.5 to close the case. Accordingly, further action in crime No.131 of 2015, was dropped and report is being submitted to the concerned jurisdictional court as action dropped.

4. In view of the said developments in the said crime on 14.02.2015, therefore, no further orders are required to be passed in this writ petition.

Writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of. As a sequel, the miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR, J

February 18, 2015
LMV