

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA
PRADESH**

**WEDNESDAY, THE SIXTEENTH DAY OF DECEMBER TWO
THOUSAND AND FIFTEEN**

Present

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

WRIT PETITION No.40737 of 2015

Between:

Sri Prahalad Dulai,
S/o. Late Madhu Dulai,
Aged about 29 years, Occ: Junior Assistant,
O/o. Assistant Account Officer,
ERO, TSNPDCL, Huzurabad,
Karimnagar Dist. & 3 others.

.. Petitioners

AND

The Northern Power Distribution Company of
Telangana State Ltd.,
Rep. by its Managing Director,
Vidyuth Bhavan, Nakkalagutta,
Hanamkonda, Warangal – 506 001 & 2 others

.. Respondents

The Court made the following:

-
-
-
-
-
-
-
-

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE P.NAVEEN RAO

WRIT PETITION No.40737 of 2015

-
ORDER:

In this writ petition, the petitioner challenges the provisional seniority list of Junior Assistants/Typists of the Telangana State Northern Power Distribution Company Limited, Karimnagar, communicated vide proceedings, dated 13.11.2015. Being a provisional seniority list, the petitioner appears to have filed objections, but so far, the objections are not finalized. Apprehending that the respondents are taking steps to affect promotions to the next higher cadre based on the said provisional seniority list, this writ petition is filed.

2. It appears that the litigation is already pending on the *inter se* seniority. Be that as it may, what is challenged is only a provisional seniority list. If there is wrong entry made in the provisional seniority list or due placement is not granted to the petitioners and in spite of raising objections by the petitioners, the request was not considered properly, but the seniority list is finalized, it is always open to the petitioners to work out their remedies. If promotions are affected based on the seniority list, provisional or final and if the petitioners succeed in establishing that wrong fixation of seniority was made and the same is rectified, the promotions so made can always be reviewed. It is not a case that the officer who issued the provisional seniority list is not competent. No ground is made out for interference in the provisional

seniority list.

3. Therefore, the Writ Petition is dismissed, leaving it open to the petitioners to work out their remedies as available in law. The dismissal of the writ petition does not take away the claims as available to the petitioners. There shall be no order as to costs. Miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this writ petition shall stand closed.

P.NAVEEN RAO, J

Date: 16th December, 2015

KL



—

—

—

—

WRIT PETITION No.40737 of 2015

—

Date: 16th December, 2015

KL

