

HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE DILIP B. BHOSALE
AND
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE S.V. BHATT

Public Interest Litigation No.156 of 2015

-
DATED:29.06.2015

Between:

All India Banjara Seva Sangh,
Hyderabad.

... Petitioner

And

The State of Telangana,
Represented by its Principal Secretary,
Department of Tribal Welfare,
Hyderabad and others.

....Respondents

HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE DILIP B. BHOSALE
AND
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE S.V. BHATT

Public Interest Litigation No.156 of 2015

PC: (Per the Hon'ble the Acting Chief Justice Dilip B. Bhosale)

The prayer in this Public Interest Litigation reads thus:

“For the reasons stated in the accompanying affidavit, the petitioner therefore prayed that this Hon'ble Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ, Order, Direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus and to consider the representations, dt. 08-04-2015 to the Chief Secretary, Govt. of Telangana State in implementing of reservations to the STs basing on 2011 census @ 9.34% basing on their proportionate population in the recent notifications issued by the Government of Telangana and to effect the enhanced reservation in the education and direct recruitment in services under the State Government including public undertaking corporations, local bodies, educational institutions and all other organizations or bodies in upholding the Constitutional privileges being applied in developing the community in whole of Constitution of India and in the interest of justice and pass such other order or orders as may deem fit and proper in the circumstances.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner will be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent Nos. 1 and 2 to consider the representation dated 8.4.2015, made by them seeking implementation of reservation to Scheduled Tribes based on 2011 Census and the consequential reliefs.

Having regard to the nature of the prayer and the

submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, we are satisfied that this Public Interest Litigation can be conveniently disposed of by the following order:

“Respondent Nos. 1 and 2 shall consider and decide the petitioner’s representation dated 8.4.2015, on merits, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, and preferably, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of this order.

While passing this order, it is made clear that we have not examined the merits of the case.”

With these directions, the Public Interest Litigation is disposed of.

Consequently, pending miscellaneous applications shall also stand closed.

DILIP B. BHOSALE, ACJ

**S.V. BHATT,
J**

29th June, 2015

Pnb