HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE DILIP B. BHOSALE
AND
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A. RAJASHEKER REDDY

Writ Appeal Nos.1005,1247 and 1171 of 2005

Date:16.09.2015

W.A. No. 1005 of 2005

Between:
Natraj Steels Pvt. Ltd.,
Rajam,
Srikakulam District.

… Appellant

And

The Chief Controlling Revenue Authority/Commissioner and
Inspector General of Registration and Stamps,
Hyderabad and others.

… Respondents

HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE DILIP B. BHOSALE
AND
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A. RAJASHEKER REDDY

Writ Appeal Nos.1005, 1247 and 1171 of 2005

Date:16.09.2015

Common Judgment: (Per the Hon'ble the Acting Chief Justice Dilip B. Bhosale)

These three appeals arise from common order dated 27.10.2004 passed in Writ Petition Nos. 8973, 8974 and 8975 of 2004, whereby, the writ petitions were dismissed.

In the writ petitions, the appellant challenged the order/proceedings dated 5.3.2004 confirming the order/proceedings dated 2.7.1999 passed by respondent Nos. 1 and 2 respectively, levying deficit stamp duty in respect of document Nos. 864 of 1999, 927 of 1999 and 946 of 1999, as illegal and without jurisdiction.

Learned counsel for the appellant, on instructions, without prejudice to the rights and contentions of the appellant, states that the appellant is ready to deposit the deficit stamp duty and for depositing the same, he seeks six months' time. He submits that if the time is granted, he has instructions not to press these writ appeals.

Learned Government Pleader appearing for the respondents has no objection for granting the time, as prayed, to deposit the deficit stamp duty.

In the circumstances, we dispose of these writ appeals by the following order:

"Appellant shall deposit the deficit stamp duty, as expeditiously as possible, preferably, within a period of six months from today. The appeals are accordingly disposed of. The common order passed by the learned Single Judge is confirmed. It is needless to mention that till the expiry of the period of six months, as aforementioned, no coercive action be taken against the appellant."

Consequently, pending miscellaneous applications shall also stand closed. No costs.

DILIP B. BHOSALE, ACJ

A. RAJASHEKER REDDY

16th September, 2015

Pnb