

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA
PRADESH**

* * * *

WRIT PETITION No.22853 OF 2011

—

—

Between:

S. Venkanna

.. Petitioner

And

The Sub-Inspector of Police,
Humainnagar, Hyderabad.

.. Respondent

DATE OF JUDGMENT PRONOUNCED: 03-08-2015

SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

- | | |
|---|--------|
| 1. Whether Reporters of Local newspapers
may be allowed to see the Judgment? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether the copies of judgment may be
marked to Law Reporters/Journals | Yes/No |
| 3. Whether Their Lordship wish to
see the fair copy of the Judgment? | Yes/No |

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

WRIT PETITION No.22853 of 2011

ORDER:

—

The grievance of the petitioner was that the police authorities were not conducting proper investigation in Crime No.77 of 2011 on the file of Humayun Nagar Police Station, Hyderabad, and taking

appropriate steps in the matter.

Relying on the written instructions dated 03.07.2015 received from the Sub-Inspector of Police, Humayun Nagar Police Station, the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home informed this Court that upon the complaint made by one C. Susender, Crime No.77 of 2011 was registered on the file of Humayun Nagar Police Station, under Section 304-A I.P.C. in relation to the death of one Prabhakar, the son of the petitioner herein. The autopsy report revealed that the deceased had died due to head injury. Upon completion of investigation, the police authorities decided to refer the case as 'action dropped'. Permission to do so was granted by the Assistant Commissioner of Police, Asifnagar Division, Hyderabad, and notice was also stated to have been given to the complainant. A final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. was filed to the same effect before the learned VI Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad, on 30.12.2013. The matter is stated to have been posted to 22.08.2015 for hearing the complainant. The Sub-Inspector of Police, Humayun Nagar Police Station, further stated that an impartial investigation was conducted in the matter and no foul play was found.

In the light of the afore-stated developments, it is for the petitioner to take recourse to appropriate measures in accordance with law in the event he is aggrieved by the final conclusion arrived at by the police authorities.

Reserving liberty to the petitioner to do so, the writ petition is dismissed.

Pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall also stand dismissed. No order as to costs.

JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

3rd August, 2015
IBL