

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA & THE STATE OF ANDHRA
PRADESH**

W.A.No.286 OF 2015

Between:

M/s Cosy World Resorts Ltd.,
Rep. by its Managing Director,
M.V.Sasidhar, Kakinada,
East Godavari District

....Appellant

A n d

The A.P. Eastern Power Distribution Company Ltd.,
Visakhapatnam, Rep. by its Superintending Engineering,
Rajahmundry
and others

....Respondents

DATE OF JUDGMENT PRONOUNCED: 11.08.2015

SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL:

**HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE DILIP B. BHOSALE
AND
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE S.V. BHATT**

- | | | |
|----|--|--------|
| 1. | Whether Reporters of Local newspapers may be allowed to see the Judgments? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether the copies of judgment may be marked to Law Reporters/Journals? | Yes/No |
| 3. | Whether their Ladyship/Lordship wish to see the fair copy of the Judgment? | Yes/No |

**HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE DILIP B. BHOSALE
AND
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE S.V. BHATT**

W.A.No.286 OF 2015

PC: *(Per the Hon'ble Sri Justice S.V.Bhatt)*

Heard learned counsel for the appellant and Mr.M.Ravindra,
learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents.

Through order dated 17.06.2015, we have directed the appellant
thus:

“Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Mr.B.S.Kartik, learned counsel for the appellant,
on instructions, submits that the appellant shall deposit
the balance amount of Rs.5,35,228/-, without prejudice to
its rights and contentions in the writ appeal, with the
concerned respondent, within a period of four (4) weeks
from today. His statement is recorded and accepted.

Writ appeal to come up for further hearing on
15.07.2015.”

At the time of hearing, learned counsel for the appellant submits
that the appellant has taken demand draft for a sum of Rs.5,35,228/- and
has handed over the demand draft to respondents 1 and 2 herein. The
learned Standing Counsel appearing for respondents 1 and 2 admits
receipt of the instant demand draft. Receipt of balance amount due and
payable under the impugned proceedings records full satisfaction of the
amount claimed through impugned proceedings. Hence, the grievance in
the writ appeal is purely academic and does not survive for
consideration.

The learned Standing Counsel in reply to another submission of
learned counsel for the appellant states that with the receipt of
Rs.5,35,228/-, the subject demand for all purposes is satisfied and the
apprehension of recovering interest for delayed payment is not correct.
The submission is placed on record.

With the above observation, the writ appeal is dismissed.

Consequently, miscellaneous petitions, if any pending, also stand disposed of.

ACJ

DILIP B. BHOSALE,

S.V.BHATT,

J
Date: 11.08.2015
Stp/Lrkm