

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF
ANDHRA PRADESH**

WRIT PETITION NO.6679 OF 2014

DATED 8th DECEMBER, 2015

Between:

Kancham Mareswara Rao @ Maresu .. Petitioner

and

The Superintendent of Police,

Krishna, Machilipatnam

and others .. Respondents

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

-

WRIT PETITION NO.6679 OF 2014

ORDER

The grievance of the petitioner is with regard to opening of a suspect sheet in his name by the police authorities.

Learned Assistant Government Pleader for Home informed this Court that normally suspect sheets are extended from time to time in keeping with the calendar year and therefore review would be undertaken of the necessity to continue with the

suspect sheet during the month of December, 2015. If that be so, it is wholly unnecessary for this Court to adjudicate the matter on merits when the police authorities concerned can as well apply their mind and take a decision in the matter.

It would therefore be open to the petitioner to make a detailed representation to the concerned police authorities seeking closure of the suspect sheet opened in his name by setting out the relevant grounds. The same shall be duly considered in the course of the review.

In this regard, it would be relevant to note that this Court had occasion to deal with this issue in W.P.No.18142 of 2012 and the case law on the subject was also adverted to at length in the order dated 24.08.2015 passed therein.

The police authorities would therefore have to be mindful of the law laid down by this Court while considering the issue of continuing the existing suspect sheets on the files of various police stations. The police authorities would also take into account the relevant Police Standing Orders in this regard and exercise their discretion judiciously. This exercise shall be completed expeditiously and in any event, not later than the end of January, 2016. In the event the police authorities decide to extend the suspect sheet in the name of the petitioner for a further period, the decision in this regard shall be communicated with appropriate reasons to the petitioner.

The writ petition is disposed of accordingly. Pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed in the light of this final order. No order as to costs.

SANJAY KUMAR, J

8th DECEMBER, 2015

PGS