

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA
PRADESH

WEDNESDAY THE TWENTYEIGHTH DAY OF OCTOBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FIFTEEN

PRESENT
HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE A.V. SESA SAI

WRIT PETITION NO. 32736 OF 2015

Between:

J. Arun Kumar ... Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Telangana
Represented by its Prl.Secretary,
Home Department,
Secretariat, Hyderabad & Ors. ... Respondents

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri Chetluru Sreenivas

Counsel for the Respondents: GP for Home [TG]

The Court made the following: [order follows]

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE A.V. SETHA SAI

WRIT PETITION NO. 32736 OF 2015

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the following relief :

“To declare the action of the respondents as illegal, void, arbitrary and unjust in calling the petitioner and threatening the petitioner to pay amounts which the petitioner is not due and consequently to direct the respondent No.4 to take action against the respondent No.3 and further to direct the respondents 2 and 3 not to make any such threatening attempts for recovery of alleged due amounts without due process of law and to pass such other suitable orders as this court may deems fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.”

2. Heard Sri Chetluru Sreenivas, learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Home for the respondents.

3. When the matter is called, written instructions dated 14/10/2015 furnished by the Sub-Inspector of Police, Amberpet Police Station, Hyderabad district have been placed by the learned Government Pleader for Home for the respondents.

3. The written instructions, reads as under:

“It is submitted that the petitioner has not lodged any complaint on 03/10/2015 with Amberpet Police Station, Hyderabad. The Station records also reveal that the petitioner has not lodged complaint with the Police Station. Therefore, the allegations that “on 03/10/2015 he approached Police Station Amberpet

and lodged complaint with them to take action against the respondent No.3 but the police refused to receive any complaint stating that the complaint is civil in nature” are baseless and denied and the said allegations have been made only for the purpose of the writ petition. It is submitted that the writ petition is devoid of any merits and is liable to be dismissed.”

4. On noticing the same, the learned counsel for the petitioner has requested this court to dispose of the writ petition by recording the said written instructions.

5. In view of the above, the writ petition is disposed of by recording the above written instructions furnished by the Sub-Inspector of Police, Amberpet Police Station, Hyderabad district. No costs.

6. As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions if any, pending in this writ petition shall stand closed.

JUSTICE A.V.SESHA SAI

28/10/2015
Is L

HONOURABLE SRI JUSTICE A.V. SESHA SAI

-

-
-
-
-
-

