

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE T.SUNIL CHOWDARY

CRIMINAL PETITION No.12527 of 2015

ORDER:

-

This petition is filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to quash the proceedings against the petitioners/accused Nos.2 and 3 in Crime No.679 of 2014 of Madhapur Police Station, Hyderabad, registered for the offence punishable under Sections 498-A IPC and 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Public Prosecutor representing the State.

A perusal of the record reveals that the petitioners are accused Nos.2 and 3 and the second respondent is the *de facto* complainant in Crime No.679 of 2014. As per the allegations made in the complaint, the parents of the petitioners gave Rs.15.00 lakhs towards dowry to accused No.1 at the time of marriage. It is further alleged that the petitioners herein subjected the second respondent to cruelty for additional dowry. The contention of the learned counsel for the petitioners is that the second respondent being a divorced wife of the first accused is not entitled to file the petition. He further submitted that the second respondent foisted a false case with an ulterior motive to harass the petitioners herein. Whether the petitioners have committed the alleged offence or not will come to light during the course of investigation.

It is needless to say that the court has to take into consideration the allegations made in the complaint while exercising inherent jurisdiction under Section 482 Cr.P.C., in order to quash the criminal proceedings at the initial stage of investigation. The court is not justified in embarking upon an

enquiry to ascertain the truthfulness, genuineness or otherwise of the allegations made in the complaint. It is a settled principle of law that the inherent power should not be exercised to stifle a legitimate investigation. If the allegations made in the complaint do not constitute the offence much less the offence alleged to have been committed by the petitioners, then this court can quash the proceedings in order to prevent abuse of process of court thereby to secure the ends of justice.

I have carefully perused the allegations made in the complaint in order to ascertain whether the allegations made in the complaint are patently absurd and inherently improbable thereby to quash the proceedings. The material available on record is *prima facie* sufficient to investigate into the matter in order to ascertain the truthfulness or otherwise of the allegations made in the complaint.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and also the principle enunciated in ***R.P.KAPOOR v. STATE OF PUNJAB***^[1], ***STATE OF HARYANA v. BHAJAN LAL***^[2], ***V.Y.JOSE V STATE OF GURAJAT***^[3] ***AND TEEJA DEVI v. STATE OF RAJASTHAN***^[4], I am of the considered view that this is not a fit case to quash the criminal proceedings at the threshold.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the concerned Station House Officer may be directed not to arrest the petitioners till completion of investigation.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and also the nature of the allegations made against the petitioners,

the Station House Officer, Madhapur Police Station, Hyderabad, is hereby directed not to arrest the petitioners, who are accused Nos.2 and 3 in Crime No.679 of 2014 till completion of investigation.

With the above direction, the Criminal Petition is dismissed.

Consequently, Miscellaneous Petitions, if any, pending in this Criminal Petition shall stand closed.

-
T.SUNIL CHOWDARY, J

Date:16.12.2015

Rns

[\[1\]](#) AIR 1960 SC 866

[\[2\]](#) AIR 1992 SC 604

[\[3\]](#) (2009) 3 SCC 78

[\[4\]](#) 2015 (1) ACR 564 (SC)