

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A. RAJASHEKER REDDY

Writ Petition No.4495 of 2015

Date: 25-02-2015

Between:

Bachanaboina Yadagiri

.... Petitioner

AND

The State of Telangana, represented by its
Principal Secretary to Panchayat Raj Department,
Hyderabad and 3 others

.... Respondents

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A. RAJASHEKER REDDY

Writ Petition No.4495 of 2015

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed for a writ of *Mandamus* declaring the action of the 4th respondent in seizing the petitioner's goods vehicle bearing No. AP 29-TB-4299 as illegal and arbitrary and against the principles of natural justice and for a consequential direction to the respondents 2 to 4 to release the petitioner's vehicle by collecting penalty as per rules.

2. It is represented by the learned counsel for the petitioner that in similar circumstances, this Court disposed of W.P.No.3747 of 2015 on

23-02-2015 giving certain directions and that the present case is squarely covered by the said judgment, which is not disputed or denied by the learned Assistant Government Pleader for Panchayat Raj (Telangana), Assistant Government Pleader for Revenue and Assistant Government Pleader for Home.

3. In view of the said representation, following the ratio laid down in the said judgment, the writ petition is disposed of directing the petitioner to submit his application for release of the vehicle before the competent authority and the competent authority shall, within three days from the date of receipt of such application, examine whether the vehicle is used in committing the offence for the first and second time; and if so, consider directing release of the vehicle on payment of the prescribed penalty by the petitioner. If, on the other hand, the vehicle is found to have been used in commission of the offence, for three or more times, the officer concerned shall consider directing release of the vehicle after deposit of amount in accordance with Rule-12 of GO.Ms.No.15 Industries and Commerce (Mines-I) Department, dated 19-02-2015 and also on execution of bond along with an affidavit by the petitioner giving consent to produce the seized vehicle as and when required.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. No order as to costs. As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in the writ petition, shall stand closed.

A. RAJASHEKER REDDY, J

Date: 25-02-2015

Ksn