

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V.AFZULPURKAR

W.P.No.3925 of 2015

ORDER:

Heard.

Petitioners 1 and 2 are stated to be licencees having TFT licence bearing No.94 valid upto 30-09-2017 and under the impugned proceedings, dated 16-01-2015 issued by the 2nd respondent, the said licence of the petitioners is kept under suspension pending enquiry on the ground that inspection was carried out on 29-09-2014 when the Toddy was found adulterated. The petitioners questioned the said order *inter alia* on the ground that the said order appears to have been passed on the report of the 3rd respondent, dated 07-01-2015, but it refers to an inspection alleged to have taken place on 29-09-2014 by the Prohibition and Excise Inspector, Mahabubnagar.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits that no such inspection was carried out on 29-09-2014 and further the said alleged inspection is more than 100 days prior to passing of the impugned order and it is improbable that the Station House Officer has reported adulteration after 100 days of inspection vide his report, dated 07-01-2015. The impugned order is, therefore, questioned on the ground that the 2nd respondent ought to have verified the facts from the petitioners before passing the order of suspension.

I have heard the learned Government Pleader for Prohibition and Excise.

However, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, it is not for this Court to go into the issues with respect of reasons for suspension pending enquiry and the order of suspension pending enquiry does not deserve to be interfered with. The petitioners are at liberty to file their detailed representation before the 2nd respondent bringing to his notice all the facts, which according to the petitioners are relevant and it is thereafter the 2nd

respondent shall consider the aforesaid report of the Station House Officer, in the light of the explanation/representation submitted by the petitioners and take appropriate decision in the matter, whether suspension of petitioners licence is required to be continued or to be revoked.

The writ petition is, therefore, disposed of permitting the petitioners to make a detailed representation before the 2nd respondent against the said order of suspension pending enquiry, and if any such representation is filed, the 2nd respondent shall consider the same in the light of the aforesaid observations and pass appropriate orders within two weeks from the date of receipt of representation from the petitioners. No order as to costs.

The miscellaneous petitions, if any, pending in this writ petition shall stand closed.

VILAS V.AFZULPURKAR, J

Date: 23-02-2015

Prv

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V.AFZULPURKAR

W.P.No.3925 of 2015

23-02-2015