

HONOURABLE Dr. JUSTICE B.SIVA SANKARA RAO

CRL.M.P.NO.7539 OF 2015

AND

CRIMINAL PETITION No.13456 OF 2014

COMMON ORDER:

This Criminal Petition is filed by the petitioners/A2 to A4 under Section 482 Cr.P.C seeking to quash the proceedings in C.C.No.430 of 2013 on the file of XIII Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Erramanzil, Hyderabad, wherein cognizance was taken for the offence punishable under Sections 498-A and 506 I.P.C.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned counsel for respondents.

Pending the Criminal Petition, the *de facto* complainant filed petition seeking permission of the Court to compound the offence.

The accused and the 2nd respondent/*de facto* complainant are present before the Court and they are identified by their respective counsel. They filed joint compromise memo along with affidavit of the *de facto* complainant stating that they amicably settled the matter outside the Court at the intervention of elders. Hence, permission to be accorded to compound the offence.

Having regard to the said circumstances, the complainant is permitted to compound the offence with the accused pending criminal petition before this Court.

Accordingly, Crl.M.P.No.7539 of 2015 is ordered and the Criminal Petition is allowed by quashing the proceedings in C.C.No.430 of 2013 on the file of XIII Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Erramanzil, Hyderabad.

Miscellaneous petitions pending if any, shall stand closed.

Dr. B. SIVA SANKARA RAO, J

Date: 31-07-2015

pab

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF
ANDHRA PRADESH**

CRIMINAL PETITION No.13456 OF 2014

Between:

Jupudi Sunil Kumar

... Petitioner

and

The State of Telangana, rep., by Public Prosecutor and another

... Respondents

DATE OF JUDGEMENT PRONOUNCED: 31-07-2015

SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL:

HONOURABLE Dr. JUSTICE B.SIVA SANKARA RAO

1. Whether Reporters of Local newspapers
may be allowed to see the Judgment? Yes/No

2. Whether the copies of judgment may be
marked to Law Reporters/Journals? Yes/No

3. Whether Their Ladyship/Lordship wish
to see the fair copy of the Judgment? Yes/No