

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V.AFZULPURKAR

W.P.No.4362 of 2015

ORDER:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Government Pleader for Home.

Petitioners herein claim to be the owners and possessors of an extent of Ac.3.25 guntas in Survey No.127, 146, 258 and 95 of Pendyal village, Maheswaram Mandal, Ranga Reddy District. It is alleged that the sixth respondent filed a suit in O.S.No.1337 of 2008 for perpetual injunction before I Additional Senior Civil Judge, Ranga Reddy District, at L.B.Nagar. In addition to that petitioners herein filed O.S.No.1633 of 2008 for declaration of their title and to declare the sale deed of the 6th respondent as null and void. Apart from that petitioners also filed O.S.No.1723 of 2009 against some third parties seeking perpetual injunction. All the suits were tried together and disposed of by judgment and decree of I Additional Senior Civil Judge dated 20.10.2014 whereby O.S.No.1633 of 2008 was dismissed, O.S.No.1337 of 2008 was decreed and O.S.No.1723 of 2009 was dismissed. In effect thereto, the 6th respondent succeeded in his suit. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners have filed appeal against the said judgment and decree, but on account of the agitation by the advocates the appeal is not numbered. The present writ petition is came to be filed on the allegation that the 6th respondent has influenced the respondents 4 and 5 and on account of which the respondents 4 and 5 are calling them to the police station on different dates without there being any case registered against the petitioners and threatening them not to enter into the suit schedule lands.

Learned Government Pleader has received instructions from the 4th respondent, which states that the 6th respondent has approached

them with a complaint on 20.02.2015 alleging attempt of interference from the petitioners and for the said complaint, an entry was made in the General Dairy of the Station. However, no action was taken on the said complaint and the fourth respondent advised the petitioners to approach the civil Court seeking police protection. The petitioners' allegation that the 6th respondent with the help of the 4th and 5th respondents harassing them by calling to the police station and directing them not to enter into their lands and to hand over the possession of the subject land are utterly false and hence denied. On the contrary, it is stated that no case is registered against the petitioners on the file of 4th respondent and he never summoned them to the police station as alleged and he never interfered with the civil disputes much less the dispute between the petitioners and the 6th respondent.


In view of the said categorical statement, it is apparent that the present writ petition is filed only on apprehension. As such, no orders are necessary in the present writ petition and the same is liable to be dismissed.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed. No costs. As a sequel thereto, miscellaneous petitions pending if any in this writ petition, shall stand closed.

VILAS V.AFZULPURKAR, J

Date:03.03.2015

Rns.



HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V.AFZULPURKAR

W.P.No.4362 of 2015

03.03.2015

Rns