

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA & THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH

* * * *

-

WRIT PETITION No.32834 of 2015

BETWEEN

Beti Venkata Ramana and another.

... PETITIONERS

AND

The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Agent to the Government (District Collector),
Tribal Welfare Department, Eluru, West Godavari District and others.

...RESPONDENTS

DATE OF JUDGMENT PRONOUNCED: 07.10.2015

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR

1. Whether Reporters of Local newspapers may be No
allowed to see the Judgments?
2. Whether the copies of judgment may be marked No
to Law Reporters/Journals?
3. Whether Their Ladyship/Lordship wish to see the No
fair copy of the Judgment?

-

-

-

-

ORDER:

Against an order granted in favour of the third respondent by the Special Deputy Collector (Tribal Welfare), K.R.Puram in S.R.No.15 of 2007 dated 05.02.2008, petitioners state that they have filed an appeal on 18.09.2015 along with stay petition before the first respondent. The primary grievance of the petitioner in this writ petition is that if the stay petition is not heard and disposed, petitioners would be put to serious prejudice.

2. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Government Pleader representing respondents 1, 2 and 4.

3. It is obvious from the above that the appeal of the petitioners is pending before the first respondent together with the stay petition and in view of the invocation of the said alternate remedy, it is only for the first respondent to examine the appeal and the stay petition and pass appropriate orders. However, keeping in view the urgency expressed by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the first respondent is directed to fix an early date for hearing of the aforesaid appeal and stay petition and hear the petitioners and pass appropriate orders at least to the extent of the stay petition, preferably, within a period of two (2) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

The first respondent is also at liberty to give notice to other contesting respondents and hear both the parties and pass appropriate orders in the appeal expeditiously.

The writ petition is disposed of. As a sequel, the miscellaneous applications, if any,

shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR, J

October 7, 2015

DSK