

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA & THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE SEVENTEENTH DAY OF MARCH
TWO THOUSAND AND FIFTEEN
PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR

WRIT PETITION No.5866 of 2015

BETWEEN

Gadepaka Shanthamma.

... PETITIONER

AND

State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Home Department,
Secretariat, Hyderabad and others.

...RESPONDENTS

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR. B. PAPA RAO GOUD

**Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR HOME
(TG)**

The Court made the following:

-
-
-

ORDER:

Petitioner alleges inaction on the part of the Station House Officer,
Nampally Police Station, Nalgonda District in investigating Cr.No.10 of 2013.

2. Instructions of learned Assistant Government Pleader, however, show
that as per the directions of the Superintendent of Police, Nalgonda, the Sub-
Divisional Police Officer, Devarakonda Region was required to investigate
Cr.No.10 of 2013 and the said officer is stated to have completed the

investigation and after receiving permission from the superior officers, the complaint of the petitioner is referred to as false. However, petitioner could not be served the final report,

as he is evading to receive the same. So far as Cr.No.9 of 2013 is concerned, it is already pending in C.C.No.259 of 2014 before the Judicial First Class Magistrate, Devarakonda and the case is posted to 13.08.2015. It is also stated that investigation in Cr.No.10 of 2013 is already completed and final report is filed on 30.06.2014.

3. Copy of the final report in Cr.No.10 of 2013 is produced before the Court and handed over to the learned counsel for the petitioner. Since the investigation is already completed in Cr.No.10 of 2013, no further orders are necessary to be passed in this writ petition. However, petitioner is at liberty to take appropriate steps in view of the final report served on him in the Court.

The writ petition is disposed of. As a sequel, the miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR, J

March 17, 2015
DSK