

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
-
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH
-

WRIT PETITION No. 14867 OF 2010

Between:

Smt. M. Savithramma

... Petitioner

And

The Collector & District Magistrate, Mahabubnagar and three others

... Respondents

DATE OF JUDGEMENT PRONOUNCED: 24-07-2015

SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL:

HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE DILIP B. BHOSALE

- | | |
|--|--------|
| 1. Whether Reporters of Local newspapers
may be allowed to see the Judgment? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether the copies of judgment may be
marked to Law Reporters/Journals? | Yes/No |
| 3. Whether Their Ladyship/Lordship wish to
see the fair copy of the Judgment? | Yes/No |

-

-

-

-

HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE DILIP B. BHOSALE

WRIT PETITION No. 14867 OF 2010

-

ORDER: (per the Hon'ble the Acting Chief Justice Dilip B. Bhosale)

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

On 28-06-2010, the following order was passed:

“As matters stand, there is no evidence of any order having been passed under Section 54 (3) of the Wakf Act, 1995. In the absence of such order the intervention of the Revenue Authorities with the construction being made by the petitioner appears to be without legal basis.

The learned counsel for the petitioner states that the construction made by the petitioner shall be at her own risk and she will not claim any equities thereafter.

In such circumstances, there shall be a direction to the Revenue Authorities not to interfere with the construction being made by the petitioner in the subject premises pending further orders.”

This Court is informed that Writ Petition No. 341 of 2011 was filed by the petitioner and it was dismissed on 19-01-2011 with liberty to the petitioner to file appropriate proceedings before the Wakf Tribunal challenging the action of the Revenue Authorities. Accordingly, the petitioner has filed proceedings before the Wakf Tribunal, bearing O.S No.74 of 2011.

In view thereof, nothing further survives in this petition. Writ petition is accordingly disposed of as rendered infructuous.

Miscellaneous petitions, if any, also stand closed. No order as to costs.

DILIP B. BHOSALE, ACJ

24-07-2015

ks

