

-
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.31412 of 2011

-
18.06.2015

Between:

Veerla Bulliyya and another

...Petitioners

And

The Executive Engineer, Krishna District and others

...Respondents

Counsel for the petitioners: Mr.V.S.Prasad,
for Mr.V.S.R.Anjaneyulu

Counsel for respondent Nos.1 and 3: Assistant
Government

Pleader for Irrigation and Command Area Development
(AP)

Counsel for respondent No.4: Government

Pleader for Revenue (AP)

Counsel for respondent No.5: Mr.Ravi Kondaveeti

The Court made the following:

-
-
-

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed for the following substantive relief:

“.....to issue a writ, order or direction particularly one in the nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the proceedings in Lr.No.DB/D4/286M, dated 05.05.2010 issued by the respondent No.1, as illegal, violative of Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India besides being without jurisdiction and violative of doctrine of principles of natural justice besides directing not to give effect, and to pass such other order or orders....”

At the hearing, Mr.V.S.Prasad, learned counsel representing Mr.V.S.R.Anjaneyulu, learned counsel for the petitioners, submitted that the cause in the writ petition does not survive for adjudication and that the writ petition has become infructuous.

Therefore, the Writ Petition is dismissed as infructuous.

As a sequel to dismissal of the writ petition, W.P.M.P.No.38981 of 2011 filed by the petitioners for interim relief shall stand dismissed as infructuous.

C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY, J

18th June, 2015
GHN