

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD  
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA  
PRADESH**

\* \* \* \*

**WRIT PETITION No.22799 of 2015**

Between:

—

B.Swetha

.. Petitioner

And

Indian Bank  
Srinagar Colony Branch,  
# 127, Tanmayee Apartments,  
Srinagar Colony, Hyderabad,  
Rep. By its Authorised Officer.

.. Respondent

DATE OF JUDGMENT PRONOUNCED: 07-08-2015

**SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL:**

**HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY  
AND  
HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.SHANKAR NARAYANA**

- |                                                                                 |        |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether Reporters of Local newspapers<br>may be allowed to see the Judgment? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether the copies of judgment may be<br>marked to Law Reporters/Journals    | Yes/No |
| 3. Whether Their Lordship wish to<br>see the fair copy of the Judgment?         | Yes/No |

**HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE R.SUBHASH REDDY  
AND  
HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A.SHANKAR NARAYANA**

**WRIT PETITION No.22799 of 2015**

**ORDER :** *(Per Hon'ble Sri Justice R.Subhash Reddy)*

In this writ petition, the petitioner has questioned the e-auction notice dated 22.06.2015 issued in exercise of powers under Rules 6 (2) & 8(6) of the Security Interest (Enforcement) Rules, 2002 framed under the Securitization and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002, as per which the auction was to be held on 31.07.2015.

When the matter is called for hearing, it is submitted by Sri Ambadipudi Satyanarayana, learned counsel appearing for the respondent Bank, that while the father of the petitioner has two accounts, the petitioner has one account with the respondent Bank. After issuing e-auction notice, the father of the petitioner has made a representation and also paid an amount of Rs.2,00,000/- in his accounts and also in the account of the petitioner. It is also submitted that in view of the request made by the father of the petitioner, the auction was not conducted on 31.07.2015 and his accounts were closed.

Inasmuch as no auction was conducted pursuant to e-auction notice dated 22.06.2015, the subject matter of the writ petition has become infructuous and no relief as prayed for can be granted.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No order as to costs.

As a sequel, pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

---

**JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY**

---

**JUSTICE A.SHANKAR NARAYANA**

07.08.2015  
v v