

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE T.SUNIL CHOWDARY

CRIMINAL PETITION No.11465 of 2015

ORDER:

This petition is filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to quash the proceedings against the petitioners/Accused Nos.1 to 5 in Crime No.585 of 2015 of Madhapur (Guttala) Police Station, Cyberabad, registered for the offence punishable under Section 498-A IPC and Sections 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Public Prosecutor representing the State.

A perusal of the record reveals that the petitioners are accused Nos.1 to 5 and the first respondent is the *de facto* complainant in Crime No.585 of 2015. As per the allegations made in the complaint, the petitioners herein have subjected the first respondent to cruelty for additional dowry. It is further alleged that the parents of the first respondent gave an amount of Rs.10,00,000/- towards dowry to the first petitioner herein.

It is needless to say that the court has to take into consideration the allegations made in the complaint while exercising inherent jurisdiction under Section 482 Cr.P.C., in order to quash the criminal proceedings at the initial stage of investigation. The court is not justified in embarking upon an enquiry to ascertain the truthfulness, genuineness or otherwise of the allegations made in the complaint. It is a settled principle of law that the inherent power should not be exercised to stifle

a legitimate investigation. If the allegations made in the complaint do not constitute the offence much less the offence alleged to have been committed by the petitioner(s), then this court can quash the proceedings in order to prevent abuse of process of court thereby to secure the ends of justice.

I have carefully perused the allegations made in the complaint in order to ascertain whether the allegations made in the complaint are patently absurd

and inherently improbable thereby to quash the proceedings. The material available on record is *prima facie* sufficient to investigate into the matter in order to ascertain the truthfulness or otherwise of the allegations made in the complaint.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and also the principle enunciated in ***R.P.KAPOOR v. STATE OF PUNJAB, STATE OF HARYANA v. BHAJAN LAL, V.Y.JOSE V STATE OF GURAJAT AND TEEJA DEVI v. STATE OF RAJASTHAN***, I am of the considered view that this is not a fit case to quash the criminal proceedings at the threshold.

The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the concerned Station House Officer may be directed not to arrest the petitioners till completion of the investigation.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case and also in view of the principle enunciated by the Supreme Court in ***ARNESH KUMAR v. STATE OF BIHAR AND ANOTHER***, the Station House Officer, Madhapur(Guttala) Police Station, Cyberabad, is hereby directed to follow the procedure as contemplated under Section 41-A Cr.P.C. in Crime No.585 of 2015, so far as the petitioners/Accused Nos.1 to 5 are concerned.

With the above direction, the Criminal Petition is dismissed.

Consequently, Miscellaneous Petitions, if any, pending in this Criminal Petition shall stand closed.

—

T.SUNIL CHOWDARY, J

12.11.2015

Rns

