

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA & THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH**

* * * *

WRIT PETITION No.26569 of 2015

BETWEEN

Kammara Lakshamma.

... PETITIONER

AND

The State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad and others.

...RESPONDENTS

DATE OF JUDGMENT PRONOUNCED: 25.08.2015

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR

- | | | |
|----|--|----|
| 1. | Whether Reporters of Local newspapers may be allowed to see the Judgments? | No |
| 2. | Whether the copies of judgment may be marked to Law Reporters/Journals? | No |
| 3. | Whether Their Ladyship/Lordship wish to see the fair copy of the Judgment? | No |

-
-
-
-

ORDER:

Complaining that respondent No.4 is interfering with the rights of the petitioner with regard to land in an extent of Ac.1.99 cents in Sy.No.328/5 and Ac.0.07 cents in Sy.No.328/3 at Malayanur village, Kundurpi Mandal, Anatapuram District, petitioner filed a representation dated 15.06.2015 before respondents 2 and 3 requesting them to take action against respondent No.4. Present writ petition is filed alleging inaction on the part of respondents 2 and 3.

2. It is evident from the representation of the petitioner dated 15.06.2015 that the complaint of interference is against a private party, who is arrayed as respondent No.4. Obviously, the second respondent cannot grant injunction or protect the petitioner from alleged interference and the petitioner has to move appropriate civil Court for seeking necessary relief.

The writ petition is clearly misconceived and is accordingly dismissed. However, the petitioner is at liberty to approach a competent Court of law for appropriate relief. As a sequel, the miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

August 25, 2015
DSK

VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR, J