

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A. RAJASHEKER REDDY

WRIT PETITION No.3778 of 2015

-

Between:

S.J.D. Srinu

PETITIONER

AND

1. The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Principal Secretary,
Municipal Administration, A.P. Secretariat, Hyderabad, and
others.

RESPONDENTS

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed challenging the action of respondents 2 and 3 in not initiating any action on the complaint dated 6.11.2014 made by the petitioner against respondents 4 and 5, as illegal and arbitrary.

The petitioner alleged to have entered into sale agreement, to purchase plot No.42 admeasuring 120 sq. yards in Sy.No.164/3C, T.D.No.3147 of Vepagunta, Visakhapatnam from the 4th respondent, and paid advance of Rs.1,00,000/- (Rs.80,000/- by way of cash and Rs.20,000/- by way of cheque). On coming to know that the 4th respondent in collusion with the 5th respondent has brought into existence a fake registered sale deed dated 29.05.2013 and entered into the sale agreement with the petitioner, he made a complaint dated 6.11.2014 to the 2nd respondent to initiate action against the 4th respondent. As the 2nd respondent has not taken any action, the petitioner filed the present writ petition.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader for Municipal Administration for the 1st respondent, Sri S. Laxminarayana Reddy, learned Standing Counsel for the 2nd respondent and learned Government Pleader for Home for the 3rd respondent.

Sri S. Laxminarayana Reddy, learned Standing Counsel for the 2nd respondent, submits that the 4th respondent has been granted building permission vide proceedings B.A.No.10459/2014/ACP-VI, dated nil, and the 4th respondent has fulfilled the conditions mentioned in the endorsement and paid the balance of fee. The learned Standing

Counsel has handed over a copy of the proceedings to the learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the same, the cause in the writ petition does not survive for adjudication. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner avail the remedies available to him under law.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed. No order as to costs. As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall stand closed.

A. RAJASHEKER REDDY, J.

9th March, 2015
Js.