

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE; AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH

WRIT PETITION No.24659 of 2015

BETWEEN:

Siliveru Veera Venkata Narayana

.. **Petitioner**

AND

The State of Telangana,
rep.by its Prl. Secretary, Civil Supplies Department,
Secretariat Buildings, Secretariat,
Hyderabad.
and 2 others.

..

Respondents

DATE OF JUDGMENT PRONOUNCED: 06.08.2015.

SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A. RAMALINGESWARA RAO

- | | |
|---|---------|
| 1. Whether reports of Local newspapers
may be allowed to see the judgments? | YES/NO |
| | |
| 2. Whether the copies of judgments may be
marked to Law Reporters/Journals. | YES/NO |
| | |
| 3. Whether their Ladyship/Lordship wish to
see the fair copy of the Judgment ? | YES/NO. |

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE A. RAMALINGESWARA RAO

Writ Petition No.24659 of 2015

ORDER:

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned
Government Pleader for Civil Supplies appearing for the respondents.

The petitioner is the owner of auto trolley bearing No.AP-20-TC-
4050. The said vehicle was seized by the police when it was found

transporting PDS rice. The third respondent-Deputy Tahsildar (CS), Khammam urban conducted panchanama and proceedings under Section 6-A of Essential Commodities Act were initiated before the second respondent-Joint Collector (CS), Khammam in respect of the seized stock. The petitioner filed an application before the second respondent on 29.07.2015 for release of the auto and when the said application was not considered, the present writ petition is filed.

This Court noticed that the seized auto is of the make of 2013. In the circumstances, instead of driving the petitioner to the second respondent, for release of the auto, this Court feels that this is a fit case to direct release of the auto bearing No.

AP-20-TC-4050 on the petitioner furnishing a bank guarantee for a sum of Rs.75,000/- (Rupees Seventy Five Thousand only), within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt a copy of this order, pending 6-A proceedings and the petitioner shall file an undertaking that he will not transfer the auto and produce the same as and when required by the second respondent. It is needless to mention that the bank guarantee furnished would be subject to further orders passed by the second respondent in the pending 6-A proceedings.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs. The miscellaneous petitions, if any pending in this writ petition, shall stand closed.

A. RAMALINGESWARA RAO, J

Date: 06.08.2015
Isn