

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE U. DURGA PRASAD RAO
Crl.P.M.P.No.1205 of 2015 in Crl.P.No.1120 of 2015
and
Criminal Petition No.1120 of 2015

COMMON ORDER:

De-facto complainant and her counsel Sri Amjad Ali Ansari are present. Accused and their counsel Sri Mohd. Muzaferullah Khan are present.

The private complaint filed by defacto complainant was taken cognizance by XIII Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad and case was registered as C.C.No.390 of 2011 for the offences under Section 498A IPC and Sections 4 and 6 of Dowry Prohibition Act against the accused.

While so, both the parties submitted that at the intervention of elders they have amicably settled their disputes and defacto complainant and A1 agreed to obtain Qula and sofaras the present criminal proceedings are concerned, the defacto complainant has no objection for quashment of the proceedings and therefore, permission may be accorded to them to compound the offence and quash the proceedings in the interests of justice.

Having regard to the above submission of the parties and considering the fact that it is a matrimonial matter and the parties have amicably settled their disputes and no useful purpose will be served if they are driven to trial, and relying upon the decision reported in ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another*** (2012)

10 SCC 303) this petition is allowed and permission is accorded to compound the offence and compromise is recorded in terms of joint memo and consequently the proceedings in C.C.No.390 of 2011 on the file of XIII Additional Chief Metropolitan Magistrate, Hyderabad are hereby quashed.

In the result, both the petitions are accordingly allowed.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

U. DURGA PRASAD RAO, J

Date: 25.02.2015

Murthy