

HONOURABLE Dr. JUSTICE B.SIVA SANKARA RAO

CRIMINAL PETITION No.6455 OF 2015

ORDER:

This Criminal Petition is filed by the petitioners under Section 482 Cr.P.C seeking to quash the proceedings in C.C.No.279 of 2011 on the file of Judicial First Class Magistrate, Peddapalli, Karimnagar District, where the learned Magistrate has taken cognizance for the offence punishable under Sections 498-A and 406 I.P.C. and Sections 3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Public Prosecutor (Telangana) for the State before notice to respondent No.2 and before admission. Perused the material on record.

As the material falls short for this Court to admit the application under Section 482 Cr.P.C., this application is disposed of giving liberty to the petitioners to file an application under Section 239 Cr.P.C. if no grounds to frame charge. Needless to say in the event of framing of any charge from any of the penal sections, then remedy is left open to the petitioners. Needless to say, if any application is filed to represent one accused on behalf of other accused under Rule 37 of Criminal

Rules of Practice, the learned Magistrate shall hear and permit with necessary conditions. As the case is of the year, 2011, the learned Magistrate is directed to dispose of the same as expeditiously as possible.

Accordingly, this criminal petition is disposed of.

Miscellaneous petitions pending if any, shall stand closed.

Dr. B. SIVA SANKARA RAO, J

Date: 21-07-2015

pab

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF
ANDHRA PRADESH**

CRIMINAL PETITION No.6455 OF 2015

Between:

Kotha Mahender and three others

... Petitioners

and

The State of Telangana, rep., by Public Prosecutor and another

... Respondents

DATE OF JUDGEMENT PRONOUNCED: 21-07-2015

SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL:

HONOURABLE Dr. JUSTICE B.SIVA SANKARA RAO

1. Whether Reporters of Local newspapers
may be allowed to see the Judgment? Yes/No

2. Whether the copies of judgment may be
Yes/No

marked to Law Reporters/Journals? Yes/No

3. Whether Their Ladyship/Lordship wish
to Yes/No
see the fair copy of the Judgment? Yes/No