

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA & THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE EIGHTEENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIFTEEN

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR

WRIT PETITION No.2006 of 2015

BETWEEN

B.Sridhar

... PETITIONER

AND

The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary (Department of Home), A.P. Secretariat Building, Hyderabad and others.

...RESPONDENTS

The Court made the following:

-
-

ORDER:

Heard.

2. Petitioner, who is accused No.4 in Crime No.5 of 2012, has filed the present writ petition alleging inaction on the part of respondent-police in not completing the investigation expeditiously.

3. Instructions of the learned Government pleader show that one Suryakanthha Vaidurya Shantha Veni has filed a private complaint before the Additional Judicial Magistrate of First Class, Kothagudem, and on receipt of the said complaint from the Court, a case Crime No.5 of 2012 was registered by Kothagudem I Town Police Station, Khammam District, on 09.01.2012. It

is stated that the SDPO, Kothagudem is the investigating officer appointed and on the basis of evidence of the complainant and others witnesses, A1, A4 and A5 were arrested on 14.02.2012 and remanded to judicial custody. However, subsequently on the request of the complainant, the entire CD file was transferred to CID Department, but the CID Department after examining the entire case file has returned the said file to the SDPO on 03.03.2014 for taking up further investigation. It appears thereafter A2 was arrested on 03.01.2015. Meanwhile, A6 and A7 stated to have approached this court in Criminal Petition No.8028 of 2012, wherein the said crime against A6 and A7 was quashed by orders of this Court dated 26.04.2013. It is stated that in these circumstances, there was some delay in completing the investigation. The instructions further state that appropriate further steps will be taken expeditiously and final report/charge sheet before the concerned court as early as possible.

In view of that, the writ petition is disposed of directing the investigating officer/in-charge of the said crime to complete the investigation and take appropriate further steps in the matter, within six weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of the order. As a sequel, the miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR, J

February 18, 2015
LMV