

**HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE DILIP B. BHOSALE
AND
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE S.V. BHATT**

WRIT APPEAL No.1050 of 2015

Dt:09.12.2015

Between:

V.Satyanarayana Reddy.

... Appellant

And

The State of Telangana and others.

... Respondents

**HON'BLE THE ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE DILIP B. BHOSALE
AND
THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE S.V. BHATT**

WRIT APPEAL No. 1050 of 2015

PC: (per the Hon'ble Sri Justice S.V.Bhatt)

The petitioner in W.P.No.33357 of 2015 is the appellant.

The learned Single Judge while dismissing the writ petition filed by the appellant has observed as follows:

“I do not see any inherent lack of jurisdiction on the part of the 3rd respondent in entertaining the said appeal. So far as the bar of limitation is concerned, it being always a mixed question of law and fact, the petitioner can as well appear before the 3rd respondent and raise that contention also apart from other contentions. If such contentions are raised, the 3rd respondent is duty bound to hear both the parties, consider the objections of the petitioner and then pass a reasoned order in accordance with law. Therefore, no reasons exist to entertain the writ petition.”

We have heard learned counsel for the appellant for some time and we do not see any illegality or irregularity in the order impugned in the appeal.

The Revenue Divisional Officer being the appellate authority under the Andhra Pradesh Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Act, 1971 is under legal obligation to consider each one of the objections raised by the appellant either on the maintainability of appeal or otherwise while disposing of the appeal and pass

appropriate orders. The appeal is directed to be disposed of within three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

With the above observations, the writ appeal is dismissed.

Consequently, miscellaneous petitions, if any, also stand disposed of.

DILIP B. BHOSALE, ACJ

S.V. BHATT, J

Dt:09.12.2015
kdl