

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA & THE STATE OF ANDHRA
PRADESH

* * *

WRIT PETITION No. 20611 of 2015

BETWEEN

T. Suryanarayana

... PETITIONER

AND

The State of A.P.,
Rep. by its Principal Secretary,
Revenue (Stamps & Registration) Department and others

...RESPONDENTS

Date of Order pronounced: 31.07.2015

SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL:

-

-

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR

- | | |
|--|--------|
| 1. Whether Reporters of Local newspapers
may be allowed to see the Judgments? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether the copies of judgment may be
marked to Law Reporters/Journals? | Yes/No |
| 3. Whether his Lordship wish to see the
fair copy of the Judgment? | Yes/No |

-

-

ORDER:-

Heard.

2. By the present writ petition, petitioner questions the action of the Sub-Registrar, fourth respondent, in not registering and releasing the mortgage deed, which was numbered as P.No.909 of 2015.
3. Instructions of the learned Government Pleader, however, show that the fourth respondent has rejected the registration on the ground that survey No.190 is prohibited and passed a refusal order No.26 of 2015.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has verified and states that since the said order is likely to be received by the petitioner on 03.08.2015, he may be permitted to approach the appellate authority questioning the said order as petitioner merely wants to register a mortgage deed which can be considered by the appellate authority expeditiously.
5. In view of the subsequent event and since the refusal order is passed by the fourth respondent, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the writ petition permitting the petitioner to approach the second respondent appellate authority if he wishes to question the said refusal order and if such an appeal is filed by the petitioner, the second respondent shall consider and dispose of the same expeditiously as the petitioner's mortgage deed is proposed to be registered by the petitioner as against the loan sanctioned in his favour by the Bank. The second respondent shall therefore expeditiously examine the appeal and pass appropriate order.

With the above direction, the writ petition is disposed of. As a sequel, the miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR, J

July 31, 2015

LMV

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR

-
-
-
-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

-

WRIT PETITION No. 20611 of 2015

July 31, 2015

LMV