

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD  
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA & THE STATE OF ANDHRA  
PRADESH

\* \* \*

**WRIT PETITION No. 30668 of 2015**

**BETWEEN**

Y.Maheshwar Reddy

**... PETITIONER**

**AND**

The Union of India, rep. by its Principal Secretary, Revenue Department and  
others

**...RESPONDENTS**

Date of Order pronounced: 21.09.2015

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR**

- |                                                                                  |        |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether Reporters of Local newspapers<br>may be allowed to see the Judgments? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether the copies of judgment may be<br>marked to Law Reporters/Journals?    | Yes/No |
| 3. Whether his Lordship wish to see the<br>fair copy of the Judgment?            | Yes/No |

-

-

**ORDER: -**

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned government  
pleader for Revenue.

2. Petitioner seeks to register two documents with respect to the sites to  
an extent of 266.66 square yards in R.S.No.66/1, 66 and 66/2 and an extent

of 266.6 sq.yards in survey No.66/2 of Mamidalapadu, Kurnool, Kurnool District. Petitioner alleges that the fifth respondent is stated to be claiming that the land is a disputed land.

3. This Court in W.P.No.19684 of 2015 dated 01.07.2015 has held that even before presentation of the document, the Sub-Registrar cannot refuse to receive and process the same.

4. Writ petition is therefore disposed of directing the fourth respondent to receive and process the document presented by the petitioner, as aforesaid. The fourth respondent is further directed to receive and process the document in accordance with the Registration Act, 1908 and Indian Stamp Act, 1899 and if the document is in conformity with the provisions of the aforesaid enactments, thereafter, register and release the document in accordance with the due procedure. It is also made clear that in the event of the fourth respondent not being satisfied with the compliance under the Registration Act or the Stamp Act, appropriate refusal endorsement together with reasons shall be recorded and communicated to the petitioner in terms of Section 71 of the Registration Act.

As a sequel, the miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

---

VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR, J

September 21, 2015

**LMV**