

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA & THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH

* * * *

-

WRIT PETITION No.34866 of 2015

BETWEEN

Chirra Lingaiah.

... PETITIONER

AND

The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary,
Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad and others.

...RESPONDENTS

DATE OF JUDGMENT PRONOUNCED: 04.11.2015

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR

1. Whether Reporters of Local newspapers may be No
allowed to see the Judgments?
2. Whether the copies of judgment may be marked No
to Law Reporters/Journals?
3. Whether Their Ladyship/Lordship wish to see the No
fair copy of the Judgment?

-

-

-
-

ORDER:

The grievance of the petitioner is that a bore well is allegedly excavated illegally by the fifth respondent and though he made a complaint regarding that on 01.08.2014 before the fourth respondent, no action is taken so far under the A.P. Water, Land and Trees Act (for short 'the Act'). Petitioner also made a similar complaint before the Revenue Divisional Officer, third respondent and the District Collector, second respondent and acting on the said complaint, the third respondent, appears to have called for report from the Tahsildar, fourth respondent and the matter remains at that stage.

2. Under the aforesaid Act, since the fourth respondent is the authority to monitor the digging of bore well to safeguard ground water, it is for the fourth respondent to take appropriate action if any violation comes to his notice by any person. Since the petitioner has already made an application before the fourth respondent on 01.08.2014, the fourth respondent is directed to take appropriate action, if warranted, under Section 15 of the Act in accordance with law after notice to all the parties affected, expeditiously.

The writ petition is disposed of. As a sequel, the miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR, J

November 4, 2015

DSK