

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELENGANA AND THE STATE OF
ANDHARA PRADESH

C.R.P.M.P.No.4283 of 2015 in C.R.P.No.2851 of 2011 &
C.R.P.No.2851 of 2011

AND

C.R.P.M.P.No.4284 of 2015 in C.R.P.No.714 of 2014 &
C.R.P.No.714 of 2014

Between:

P.Ramachandran and others

.....Petitioners

and

S.Koma Reddy and others

.....Respondent

Date of Judgment pronounced : 11-08-2015

SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE M.S.RAMACHANDRA RAO

1. Whether Reporters of Local newspapers : Yes/No
May be allowed to see the judgments?
2. Whether the copies of judgment may be marked :
Yes/No
to Law Reporters/Journals:
3. Whether The Lordship wishes to see the fair copy : Yes/No
Of the Judgment?

**THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE M.S.RAMACHANDRA
RAO**

**C.R.P.M.P.No.4283 of 2015 in C.R.P.No.2851 of 2011 &
C.R.P.No.2851 of 2011**

AND

**C.R.P.M.P.No.4284 of 2015 in C.R.P.No.714 of 2014 &
C.R.P.No.714 of 2014**

COMMON ORDER:

These applications have been filed under Order 23 Rule 3 CPC alleging that the parties to the Revision Petitions have settled the matter and reduced the same into writing on 27-07-2015 and to dispose of the Revision Petitions in terms of the said compromise filed in the Court. The original of the said compromise has been filed in the form of the Memo.

2. The GPA holders of the petitioners in C.R.P.No.714 of 2014 by name K.Hanumanth Reddy, K.Janardhan Reddy are present in the Court and they have been identified by their counsel Sri N.Ranga Rao. Respondent Nos.1, 2 and 3 in C.R.P.No.2851 of 2011, who are also respondent Nos.3, 4 and 5 in C.R.P.No.714 of 2014, are present and they have been identified by their respective counsel. They have stated that they have compromised the matter in terms of the compromise memo dt.27-07-2015, copies of which are annexed to the C.R.P.M.P.Nos.4283 and 4284 of 2015 and that they have entered into the said compromise voluntarily without any coercion.

3. Learned counsel for petitioners in both the Revision Petitions and respondent Nos.3 to 6 in C.R.P.No.714 of 2014 have stated that although 2nd respondent-R.D.O., Chevella Division at Attapur had issued Certificate in the names of respondent Nos.3 to 5 for the entire extent of Ac.4.11 ½ gts., in view of this compromise, 2nd respondent may be directed to modify the said Certificate and issue pattas for an extent of Ac.3.01 ½ gts. in favour of respondent Nos.3 to 5 in terms of the compromise and the balance Ac.1.10 gts. be recorded in the name of petitioner Nos.2 to 5 as pattedars (as 1st petitioner, who was the pattedar, had died and petitioner Nos.2 to 5 are his legal representatives).

4. The terms of the compromise referred to above seem to be in conformity with law. So both the impugned orders are set aside.

5. In this view of the matter, 2nd respondent-R.D.O., Chevella Division at Attapur is directed to issue Certificate under Section 38 (8) and Section 6 (6) of the AP (Telangana Area) Tenancy and Agricultural Lands Act, 1950, in the names of respondent Nos.3 to 5 for an extent of Ac.3.01 gts only and to record the balance extent of 1.10 gts in the name of petitioner Nos.2 to 5 as pattedars.

6. With the above directions, C.R.P.M.P.No.4283 of 2015 in C.R.P.No.2851 of 2011

and C.R.P.M.P.No.4284 of 2015 in C.R.P.No.714 of 2014 are allowed and consequently C.R.P.Nos.2851 of 2011 and 714 of 2014 are disposed of in terms of compromise dt.27-07-2015.

7. As a sequel, the miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

JUSTICE M.S.RAMACHANDRA RAO

Date: 11-08-2015

kvr