

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE U.DURGA PRASAD RAO
Crl.P.M.P.No.17540 of 2014 in Crl.P.No.16025 of 2014
and
Crl.P.No.16025 of 2014

Common Order:

T h e *defacto* complainant and her counsel M/s.A.Maheswari are present. Respondents/A.1 to A.6 and their counsel M/s.Mohd. Mumtaz Pasha are present.

Heard both sides and perused the petition.

On the complaint filed by complainant, the DVC No.231 of 2012 was registered on the file of learned III Metropolitan Magistrate, Erramanjil, Hyderabad.

While-so, now the submission of both sides it that at the intervention of elders, both parties have amicably resolved their disputes and in view of the same, the complainant decided to withdraw the DVC No.231 of 2012 on the file of learned III Metropolitan Magistrate, Erramanjil, Hyderabad and therefore, parties may be permitted to compromise the matter and consequently, quash the proceedings in DVC No.231 of 2012 in the interest of justice.

Having regard to the above said submission and considering the fact that it is a family dispute wherein the parties have amicably resolved their disputes and now the complainant is willing to withdraw the DVC No.231 of 2012

on the file of III Metropolitan Magistrate, Erramanjil, Hyderabad and further considering that no useful purpose will be served even if the parties are driven to trial in the said case and following the decision reported in ***Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and another***^[1], this petition is allowed, permission is accorded and compromise is recorded in terms of the accompanying memorandum of compromise and consequently, the proceedings in DVC No.231 of 2012 on the file of III Metropolitan Magistrate, Erramanjil, Hyderabad are hereby quashed.

In the result, both the petitions are accordingly allowed.

As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

U.DURGA PRASAD RAO, J

Date: 05.02.2015

scs

^[1] (2012) 10 SCC 303