

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE U.DURGA PRASAD RAO
Crl.P.M.P.No.17444 of 2014 in Crl.P.No.16229 of 2014
and
Crl.P.No.16229 of 2014

Common Order:

The de-facto complainant and her counsel Sri Gonamanda Narasimha are present. Smt.V.Govindamma SPA holder on behalf of accused and her counsel Sri B.Chandrasen Reddy are present.

Heard both sides.

The Police Sanathnagar PS filed charge sheet in Cr.No.407 of 2013 against the accused for the offences under Sections 420 and 313 IPC and learned XXIV Metropolitan Magistrate, Miyapur registered PRC No.33 of 2014 (Old PRC No.298/2013). Now, the submission of both sides is that at the intervention of elders, parties have resolved their disputes and entered into compromise and therefore permission may be accorded to them to enter into compromise and record the same and quash the proceedings in PRC No.33 of 2014 on the file of XXIV Metropolitan Magistrate.

Having regard to the above said submission and considering the fact that parties have entered into compromise and as such no useful purpose will be served if they are driven to trial and following the decision

reported in ***Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another***^[1] the Crl.M.P.No.17444 of 2014 is allowed and compromise is recorded in terms of compromise petition and consequently the proceedings in P.R.C.No.33 of 2014 (Old PRC No.298/2013) on the file of XXIV Metropolitan Magistrate are hereby quashed.

In the result, both the petitions are accordingly allowed. As a sequel, miscellaneous petitions pending, if any, shall stand closed.

U.DURGA PRASAD RAO, J

27-01-2015

Murthy

^[1] (2012) 10 SCC 303