

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA
PRADESH

WP No.22565 of 2015

Between:

Boya Radhamma

...Petitioner

And:

The State of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its
Principal Secretary, Panchayat Raj Department,
Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad & others

... Respondents

DATE OF JUDGMENT PRONOUNCED: 22.07.2015

SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL:-

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE R. KANTHA RAO

1. Whether Reporters of Local newspapers may Yes/No
 be allowed to see the Judgments?
2. Whether the Copies of Judgment may Yes/No
 be marked to Law Reporters/Journals
3. Whether Their Lordship wish to see the Yes/No
 fair copy of the Judgment?

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE R. KANTHA RAO

Writ Petition No.22565 of 2015

ORDER:

Heard Sri K. Srinivas, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Panchayat Raj-R.1 & R.2.

2. The petitioner was appointed as Field Assistant in the office of the 4th respondent. Basing on the report of the social audit dated 11.01.2010 stating that the petitioner misappropriated an amount of Rs.7,495/-, a show cause notice dated 25.05.2012 was issued to the

petitioner, for which she submitted written explanation. According to the petitioner, without considering her written explanation, the 3rd respondent passed impugned order dated 11.08.2014 removing the petitioner from service. Against the said order, the petitioner preferred an appeal to the District Collector, Ananthapuramu-2nd respondent on 15.09.2014, which is pending now. The main grievance of the petitioner is that the removal order was passed without considering her explanation and without conducting any enquiry.

3. Since the appeal is pending before the District Collector, I am not inclined to go in to the merits of the case. In the circumstances, the 2nd respondent-District Collector, Ananthapuram District, is directed to dispose of the appeal of the petitioner dated 15.09.2014, within a period of eight (8) weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, by considering the contentions raised by the petitioner that she was removed from service without considering her explanation and without conducting any enquiry.

4. The writ petition is accordingly disposed of. Miscellaneous petitions, if any, in this writ petition, shall stand closed. No order as to costs.

Date: 22.07.2015
BSS

R. KANTHA RAO, J

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE R. KANTHA RAO

19

Writ Petition No.22565 of 2015

Date: 22.07.2015

BSS