

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA & THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH

* * * *

-

WRIT PETITION No.34838 of 2015

BETWEEN

Komuravelly Srinivas and others.

... PETITIONERS

AND

The State of Telangana, Rep. by its Principal Secretary,
Revenue Department, Secretariat, Hyderabad and others.

...RESPONDENTS

DATE OF JUDGMENT PRONOUNCED: 04.11.2015

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR

1. Whether Reporters of Local newspapers may be No
allowed to see the Judgments?
2. Whether the copies of judgment may be marked No
to Law Reporters/Journals?
3. Whether Their Ladyship/Lordship wish to see the No
fair copy of the Judgment?

-

-

-

-

ORDER:

An order of rejection of the request of the petitioners for grant of mutation under impugned endorsement dated 22.08.2015 is questioned in this writ petition.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that the petitioners have already filed two explanations asserting that their vendor was recognized and was given pattadar pass books and mutation in his name existed. Reliance is also placed upon a decision of this Court in WP.No.20737 of 2003 dated 08.04.2004 and it is contended that the impugned endorsement suffers from manifest error.

3. I have examined the order in the writ petition, referred to above, which relates to the relief sought for by the petitioners therein relating to registration of their documents. Moreover, the impugned endorsement was issued in view of the classification of Sy.No.1340 admeasuring Ac.91.04 guntas as Bancharai (Government land) in the Khasra Pahani 1954-55. In view of that, if the petitioners are aggrieved by the said endorsement, they have a remedy to prefer an appeal under the A.P. Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Act. Hence, no reasons to entertain the writ petition. However, liberty is granted to the petitioners to approach the appropriate appellate authority. Since the time for preferring the appeal has expired during the pendency of this writ petition, petitioners are permitted to file an appeal on or before 16.11.2015 and if such an appeal is preferred, the same shall be entertained by the appellate authority without raising an objection as to limitation.

The writ petition is disposed of. As a sequel, the miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR, J

November 4, 2015

Note: Furnish C.C. of the order by 06.11.2015

(B/o) DSK