

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA AND THE STATE OF ANDHRA
PRADESH**

* * * *

WRIT PETITION No.9893 OF 2005

—

—

Between:

P. Venu

.. Petitioner

And

The Director of Prosecution, Government of Andhra Pradesh,
and others.

.. Respondents

DATE OF JUDGMENT PRONOUNCED: 13-08-2015

SUBMITTED FOR APPROVAL:

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

- | | |
|---|--------|
| 1. Whether Reporters of Local newspapers
may be allowed to see the Judgment? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether the copies of judgment may be
marked to Law Reporters/Journals | Yes/No |
| 3. Whether Their Lordship wish to
see the fair copy of the Judgment? | Yes/No |

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

WRIT PETITION No.9893 of 2005

ORDER:

The grievance of the petitioner, an Additional Public Prosecutor
in the Court of the learned II Additional District and Sessions Judge

(FTC), Khammam, was that he was not paid his salary for the period November 2003 to February 2005.

The Director of Prosecutions, Hyderabad, filed a counter-affidavit stating that the petitioner was appointed as an Additional Public Prosecutor for the subject court under G.O.Rt.No.1467, Law (L.A.&J-Cts.A2) Department dated 20.09.2005 and due to non-availability of budget, his remuneration could not be paid to him for the sixteen month period between November 2003 and February 2005. However, the Government issued orders finally in Memo dated 08.04.2005 to meet the said expenditure from the budget for the financial year 2005-2006. Thereupon, the Senior Assistant Public Prosecutor (Administration), Khammam, drew a sum of Rs.1,12,000/- through cheque No.783462 and paid the same to the petitioner in July, 2005 under proper acquittance. This payment was made for the period November 2003 to February 2005 at the rate of Rs.7,000/- per month. The Director further stated that no dues remained to be paid to the petitioner thereafter.

In the light of the afore-stated developments, the grievance of the petitioner as regards the non-payment of his salary stands redressed.

The writ petition is accordingly closed. Pending miscellaneous petitions, if any, shall also stand closed. No order as to costs.

JUSTICE SANJAY KUMAR

13th August, 2015
IBL