

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT HYDERABAD
FOR THE STATE OF TELANGANA & THE STATE OF ANDHRA PRADESH
(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE FOURTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIFTEEN

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR

WRIT PETITION No.15557 of 2015

BETWEEN

Baditha Ravanamma

... PETITIONER

AND

State of Andhra Pradesh, rep. by its Principal Secretary and others

...RESPONDENTS

The Court made the following:

-

-

ORDER:

Heard.

2. Petitioner has already given a notice under Section 80 CPC to the official respondents, vide Ex.P1, dated 25.04.2015. Present writ petition is filed to treat the said notice as representation and issue Mandamus as prayed for.

3. The allegations in the affidavit involve disputed questions of fact and the petitioner has rightly invoked Section 80 CPC and notified the official respondents of proposed action. Hence, the said statutory notice cannot be treated as a representation for the purpose of entertaining the writ petition.

4. Writ petition is, therefore, declined to be entertained and is, accordingly, dismissed. Petitioner, however, is at liberty to pursue the remedies in pursuance of the notice already given by him under Section 80 CPC.

As a sequel, the miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed. There shall be no order as to costs.

VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR, J

June 4, 2015

Lmv