

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE T. SUNIL CHOWDARY

CRIMINAL PETITION No.11649 OF 2015

ORDER:

1 This petition is filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to quash the proceedings against the petitioners/respondent Nos.2 to 6 in DVC No.9 of 2015 on the file of Judicial First Class Magistrate, at Yellamanchili.

2 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor representing the State.

3 Petitioners herein are respondent Nos.2 to 6 and the second respondent is the petitioner in DVC No.9 of 2015. A perusal of the record reveals that the second respondent filed DVC No.9 of 2015 under section 12 claiming various reliefs under Sections 18,19,20 & 22 of the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act (for short 'DVC Act') on the file of Judicial Magistrate of I Class, Yellamanchili, Visakhapatnam against the petitioners. There is no dispute between the parties with regard to their inter se relationship.

4 As per the principle enunciated in **Valisetti Chandra Rekha v. State of Andhra Pradesh**, **Mohit Yadav v. State of Andhra Pradesh** and **Mohd. Akber Yaseen v. Rizwana Sultana**, the various reliefs sought under the provisions of D.V.C.Act are civil in nature. There is no element of criminality in the reliefs sought by the second respondent. The allegations made in the complaint, *prima facie*, reveal the role played by the petitioners. The maintainability of the petition itself is very much doubtful in view of the nature of the reliefs sought for by the second respondent.

5 Whether the second respondent is entitled to claim the reliefs against the petitioners or not is purely a question of fact, which requires a full-fledged trial and the same cannot be gone into while exercising inherent jurisdiction under Section 482 Cr.P.C. If this Court expresses any opinion, touching the merits of the case, the same

may cause prejudice to either of the parties.

6 Viewed from factual or legal aspects, I am of the considered view that this is not a fit case to quash the proceedings at this stage.

7 The learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners are facing much difficulty in attending the Court on each and every adjournment. There is no dispute with regard to the identity of the petitioners. Even if the presence of the petitioners is dispensed with, no prejudice will be caused to the first respondent. Therefore, the presence of the petitioners who are respondent Nos.2 to 6 in DVC No.9 of 2015 on the file of Judicial First Class Magistrate, Yellamanchili on each and every date of adjournment is hereby dispensed with. However, the petitioners/respondents 2 to 6 shall appear before the trial Court as and when their presence is required.

8 With the above observations, the Criminal Petition is dismissed at the stage of admission. Miscellaneous petitions, pending if any, shall stand closed.

T.SUNIL CHOWDARY, J.

Date: 13.11.2015

KA