

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR

-

-

W.P. NO. 2603 of 2015

-

Date of Judgment: 17.3.2015

Between:

Solman Raju Educational Society, Suryapet,
Nalgonda district

...Petitioner

And

National Council for Teacher Education, Southern
Regional Committee, Bangalore

..Respondent

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR

-

-

W.P. NO. 2603 of 2015

-

ORDER:

Heard learned counsel for the parties

The petitioner made an application dated 20.8.2005 to the National Council for Teacher Education for grant of recognition to B.Ed/D.Ed colleges. Since the said application was not considered, the petitioner filed WP No. 12895 of 2011 which was disposed of on 28.4.2011

directing the NCTE to take necessary steps in accordance with NCTE Act and pass appropriate orders. The petitioner states that he was called upon to submit certain documents which were submitted with his reply dated 16.5.2012. However, it was informed that the NCTE in its 265th meeting held on 24th to 26th March, 2014 the application of the petitioner was not considered and it was pending since then. It is also stated that after new regulations came into force in 2014, the petitioner gave an affidavit dated 29.1.2015 expressing his willingness to process his application as per NCTE Regulations, 2014. The present writ petition is filed alleging inaction of the respondent in considering his request for recognition to B.Ed./D.Ed colleges.

Mr. K. Ramakantha Reddy, learned standing counsel for the respondent submits, on instructions, that the application of the petition was duly considered in terms of directions of this Court in W.P.No. 5256 of 2011, dated 21.4.2011 and by order dated 3.6.2013 the request of the petitioner for recognition was rejected. It is also submitted that the same society made another application which was also considered and rejected on 4.1.2013. Copies of both the said orders are produced by the learned standing counsel.

Evidently, the petitioner's application was rejected long back as above and now it is not possible for reviving his application which was already considered and rejected by NCTE. In addition to that, since new regulations have already come into force, the petitioner cannot seek consideration of his application made under the old regulations.

In view of that, the writ petition is disposed of permitting the petitioner to make appropriate application to the respondent in terms of new NCTE regulations, 2014 as and when notification is issued by NCTE and if such an application is made by the petitioner, the same shall be considered and appropriate orders be passed by the respondent in accordance with law.

Miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand closed. No order as to costs.

VILAS V. AFZULPURKAR, J

Dt. 17.3.2015
KR