

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE R.KANTHA RAO

W.P.No.25440 of 2015

ORDER:

Heard learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondent-Bank.

The respondent-bank made a requisition to the Employment Exchange to sponsor the candidates for the posts of temporary Attenders. The grievance of the petitioner is that the respondent is not receiving the applications from the candidates other than those sponsored by the Employment Exchange.

The present writ petition is therefore filed to direct the respondents to receive the application of the petitioner for the post of temporary Attender without insisting upon his name being sponsored by the Employment Exchange.

Reliance is placed by the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners on *EXCISE SUPERINTENDENT MALKAPATNAM, KRISHNA DISTRICT, A.P., Vs. K.B.N. VISWESHWARA RAO*

AND OTHERS ^[1], wherein, the Supreme Court has laid down categorically that restricting the selection only to the candidates sponsored by employment exchange is not proper. In addition to requisitioning the names from employment exchange, names should also be called for by publication in newspapers, having wide circulation and display on office notice boards or announcement on radio,

television and employment news bulletins.

In view of the above Judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court, the respondents are under obligation to receive the application from the petitioner.

Therefore, the respondents are directed to receive the application from the petitioner and allow him to participate in the selection process including the written test and interview to the existing vacancies of temporary Attender posts without insisting that his name must be sponsored by the Employment Exchange.

Accordingly, the Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

The Miscellaneous Petitions, if any, pending in this writ petition stand closed.

JUSTICE R.KANTHA RAO

13th October, 2014
rkk

[\[1\]](#) (1996) 6 Supreme Court Cases 216