



WEB COPY

1

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 08.09.2015

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.SUDHAKAR

and

THE HONOURABLE MS.JUSTICE V.M.VELUMANI

Writ Petition (MD) No.6573 of 2013

Amaravathi

... Petitioner

Vs.

- 1.The District Collector,
Dindigul District, Dindigul.
- 2.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Kodaikanal, Dindigul District.
- 3.The District Forest Officer,
O/o.The District Forest Officer,
Kodaikanal, Dindigul District.
- 4.The Deputy Engineer,
Tamil Nadu Electricity Board,
Kodaikanal, Dindigul District.
- 5.Subramani
- 6.S.Murugabharathy
- 7.Sirajinisha

... Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the respondents 1 to 4 to remove the electric fencing put up by the respondents 5 and 6 along the Chinnapallam-Perumpallam Main Road Vilpatti Village, Kodaikanal, Dindigul District.

For Petitioner

: M/s.D.Geetha

For Respondents 1-3

: Mr.C.Selvaraj,

Special Government Pleader.

R4 :M/S.S.Srimathy

R6 : MR.R.R.Raman

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by R.SUDHAKAR,J.)

This writ petition has been filed in public interest, seeking a Writ of Mandamus to direct the respondents 1 to 4 to remove the electric fence put up by the respondents 5 and 6 in Chinnapallam-Perumpallam Main Road Vilpatti Village, Kodaikanal, Dindigul District.

2. Heard both sides.

3. The grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioner has given a representation on 14.08.2012 to the respondents 1 to 3 and the has not been considered so far and hence, this writ petition.

<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

1. Although the petitioner has sought for the relief as above, the learned Counsel for the petitioner would submit that the



representation dated 14.08.2012 submitted by the petitioner may be directed to be considered by the first respondent.

5. Accordingly, without going into the merits of the petitioner's claim, the first respondent is directed to verify the nature of the complaint made by the petitioner and consider the representation of the petitioner dated 14.08.2012 on its own merit and in accordance with law, if there is any illegality. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the authority concerned is free to deal with the matter on its own merit as per law.

6. With the above direction, this writ petition stands disposed of. Consequently, the connected miscellaneous petition is closed. No costs.

Sd/
Assistant Registrar (AE)

/TRUE COPY/

Sub Assistant Registrar

mj

To

- 1.The District Collector,
Dindigul District, Dindigul.
- 2.The Revenue Divisional Officer,
Kodaikanal, Dindigul District.
- 3.The District Forest Officer,
O/o.The District Forest Officer,
Kodaikanal, Dindigul District.
- 4.The Deputy Engineer,
Tamil Nadu Electricity Board,
Kodaikanal,
Dindigul District.

+1 cc to Ms.D.GEETHA, ADVOCATE, SR NO: 53000

JAM/AN-MP/21.9.15/2P-6C

Writ Petition (MD) No.6573 of 2013
08.09.2015