



WEB COPY

1

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 08.09.2015

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.SUDHAKAR

and

THE HONOURABLE MS.JUSTICE V.M.VELUMANI

Writ Petition (MD) No.10973 of 2013

P.Krishnamoorthi

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The District Collector,
Tiruchirapalli District,
Tiruchirapalli.

2.The Block Development Officer,
Thuraiyur Panchayat Union,
Thuraiyur,
Tiruchirapalli District.

3.The Panchayat President,
Perumal Palayam Panchayat,
Thuraiyur Panchayat Union,
Tiruchirapalli District.

4.M.Ramasamy,
The Panchayat President,
Perumal Palayam Panchayat,
Thuraiyur Panchayat Union,
Tiruchirapalli District.

... Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, directing the 1st respondent to take action against the respondents 3 and 4 for their acts of receiving bribe in selecting the beneficiaries under the Green Houses Scheme and consequently select the eligible members as per the guidelines of Green Houses Scheme, by considering the representation dated 04.05.2013 within the period stipulated by this Court.

For Petitioner

: Mr.A.Haja Mohideen

For 1st Respondent

: Mr.V.R.Shanmuganathan,
Special Government Pleader.

For Respondents 2&3

: Mr.M.Alagadevan

For 4th Respondent

: No appearance

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by R.SUDHAKAR,J.)

This writ petition has been filed in public interest, seeking a Writ of Mandamus to direct the first respondent to take action against the respondents 3 and 4 for their acts of receiving bribe in selecting beneficiaries under Green Houses Scheme and consequently select eligible



members, as per the guidelines of Green Houses Scheme, by considering the representation dated 04.05.2013.

2. Heard both sides.

3. The grievance of the petitioner is that the representation dated 04.05.2013 submitted by the petitioner, has not been considered so far and hence, this writ petition.

4. Though the petitioner has sought for the relief as above, the learned Counsel for the petitioner would submit that the representation dated 04.05.2013 submitted by the petitioner may be directed to be considered by the first respondent.

5. Accordingly, without going into the merits of the petitioner's claim, the first respondent is directed to verify the nature of the complaint made by the petitioner and consider the representation of the petitioner dated 04.05.2013 on its own merit and in accordance with law. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the authority concerned is free to deal with the matter on its own merit as per law.

6. With the above direction, this writ petition stands disposed of. No costs

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar (RTI)

/TRUE COPY/

Sub Assistant Registrar

mj

To

1. The District Collector,
Tiruchirapalli District, Tiruchirapalli.

2. The Block Development Officer,
Thuraiyur Panchayat Union,
Thuraiyur, Tiruchirapalli District.

3. The Panchayat President,
Perumal Palayam Panchayat,
Thuraiyur Panchayat Union,
Tiruchirapalli District.

+1 cc to MR.A.HAJA MOHIDEEN, ADVOCATE, SR NO: 52602

+1 CC to M/S.SPL.GOV.T.PLEADER, SR NO: 52945

JAM/SKS-RR/LIB/30.9.15/2P-6C

Writ Petition (MD) No.10973 of 2013
08.09.2015