



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 27.02.2015

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAVICHANDRABAABU

W.P. (MD) No.2724 of 2015

and

M.P.Nos.1 and 2 of 2015

A.Karuppasamy

: Petitioner

Vs.

The Member Secretary,
Tamil Nadu Uniformed Services,
Recruitment Board,
Chennai 600 002.

: Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issue of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus to call for the records relating to the Column No.3-A of the impugned online advertisement of the respondent vide No.1/15, dated 08.02.2015, fixing the cut off date as 01.07.2015 in calculating the maximum age for the backward class candidate for applying the post of Sub-Inspector [Taluk] and quash the same as illegal and consequently direct the respondent to fix the cut off date for calculating the maximum age limit as date of advertisement, i.e., 08.02.2015, instead of 01.07.2015.

For Petitioner : Mr.V.Thirumal

For Respondent : Mr.S.Kumar
Additional Government Pleader

O R D E R

This Writ Petition has been filed challenging Column No.3-A of the impugned online advertisement of the respondent vide No.1/15, dated 08.02.2015, fixing the cut off date as 01.07.2015 in calculating the maximum age for the backward class candidate for applying the post of Sub-Inspector [Taluk] and consequently direct the respondent to fix the cut off date for calculating the maximum age limit as date of advertisement, i.e., 08.02.2015, instead of 01.07.2015.

2. The grievance of the petitioner is that the cut off date fixed in the impugned notification is not correct and it should have been fixed as 08.02.2015, instead of 01.07.2015.

3. First of all, I am of the view that the petitioner cannot stipulate as to what could be the qualification, as it is for the competent authority to decide and fix the same. Moreover, in this case, the learned Additional Government Pleader appearing for the respondent pointed out that such cut off mark was fixed as per Rule 14 of the Tamil Nadu Special Police Subordinate Service Rules, 1978 and therefore, such



fixation of cut off mark cannot be found fault with. I find every force in the submission made by the learned Additional Government Pleader for the respondent, and therefore, I find that the Writ Petition is totally misconceived. Accordingly, the Writ Petition is dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are also dismissed.

WEB COPY

/True copy/

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (T&P)

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

The Member Secretary,
Tamil Nadu Uniformed Services,
Recruitment Board,
Chennai 600 002.

+1cc to Special Government Pleader in SR.No. 9647

Sm:11.03.2015:2P/3C:

ORDER MADE IN
W.P. (MD) No. 2724 of 2015
DATED - 27.02.2015