



WEB COPY

1

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 25.02.2015

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAVICHANDRABAABU

Writ Petition (MD) No.2450 of 2015

and

M.P.(MD)Nos.1 and 2 of 2015

R.Palani

...Petitioner

Vs.

- 1.The Director of School Education,
DPI Campus, College Road,
Nungambakkam, Chennai 600 006.
- 2.The Chief Educational Officer,
Trichy.
- 3.The District Educational Officer,
Trichy.
- 4.Vivekananda Higher Secondary School,
Rep. By its Secretary,
Thirupparaitturai 639 115,
Trichy District.
- 5.Swami Abethanandha,
Secretary,
Vivekananda Higher Secondary School,
Thirupparaitturai 639 115,
Trichy District.
- 6.Swami Divayananda,
Secretary,
Ramakrishna Thapovanam,
Thirupparaitturai,
Trichy District.
- 7.The Headmaster,
Vivekananda Higher Secondary School,
Thirupparaitturai 639 115,
Trichy District.
8. P.Thirunavukkarasu,
Headmaster,
Vivekananda Higher Secondary School,
Thirupparaitturai 639 115,
Trichy District.

...Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records pertaining to the impugned order passed by the 4th respondent in No.nil dated 31.7.2014 quash the same and direct the 4th respondent to grant re-employment to the petitioner till 31.5.2015 with all attendant benefits and pass such further or other orders.



For Petitioner : Mr.R.Subramanian
For Respondents : Mr.V.Muruganandam,
(1 to 3) Additional Government Pleader.

ORDER

WEB COPY

Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner and Mr.V.Muruganandam, learned Additional Government Pleader takes notice for the respondents. By consent, the writ petition itself is taken up for final disposal at the admission stage.

2.The petitioner is aggrieved against the order of the fourth respondent dated 31.07.2014 and consequently seeking for a direction to the fourth respondent to grant reemployment to the petitioner till 31.05.2015 with all attendant benefits.

3.It is seen that the claim of the petitioner seeking for reemployment was rejected through the above said impugned order as early as on 31.07.2014 by stating that the work and conduct of the petitioner are not satisfactory. Even though such an order was passed on 31.07.2014, the petitioner has not chosen to challenge the same immediately. On the other hand, the present writ petition has been filed only at the fag end of the academic year. I do not think that the present writ petition could be entertained both on the ground of delay as well as on the ground that the management has found that his work and conduct are not satisfactory. When that being the contention, even to consider as to whether such finding is valid or not the petitioner has to come before this Court at an appropriate time. Therefore, I find that the present writ petition filed after such a long time cannot be entertained. Accordingly, the same is dismissed. No costs. Consequently, M.P(MD)Nos.1 and 2 are closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(CO)

/True copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

To

1.The Director of School Education,
DPI Campus, College Road,
Nungambakkam, Chennai 600 006.

2.The Chief Educational Officer,
Trichy.

3.The District Educational Officer,
Trichy.

+1CC to M/s.R.Subramanian, Advocate in SR.8644

Writ Petition (MD) No.2450 of 2015
and M.P.(MD)Nos.1 and 2 of 2015
25.02.2015

sms

PBK 11/03/2015 ::2P-5C: