



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 17.08.2015

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.SUDHAKAR

and

THE HONOURABLE MS.JUSTICE V.M.VELUMANI

Writ Petition (MD) No.5597 of 2014
and MP(MD)No.1 of 2014

B.Narayan Ram

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Commissioner of Municipal Administration,
Chepauk, Chennai 5.

2.The Regional Director of Municipal Administration,
Kochadai, Madurai.

3.The District Collector,
Madurai District Collectorate,
Madurai.

4.The Commissioner,
Usilampatti Municipality,
Usilampatti, Madurai District.

5.The Chairman,
Usilampatti Municipality, Usilampatti

6.A.Thevajothi

7.D.Ganeshkumar

8.P.Natarajan

9.P.Chandran

10.Chinnakalai

11.V.Sethuraman

12.K.K.Bose

13.V.Duraisamy

14.M.Tamilselvam

15.A.Pandiyarajan

16.P.Mahadevi

17.N.Sadayan

18.Pandiyammal

19.P.Gandhi

20.P.Pitchaipandi

21.M.Manivannan

22.A.Nagaraj


23.D.Chinnan

24.D.Ramesh


<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>

25.Chinnamuthu

26.Kamalpadsha



- 27.M.Manimaran
- 28.B.latha
- 29.C.Ramajeyam
- 30.D.Pinniammal
- 31.Amalraj
- 32.M.Udayabaskaran
- 33.S.Mayakkal
- 34.P.Eswari
- 35.V.Prabhakaran
- 36.D.Murugesan
- 37.T.Sujenadran
- 38.K.Alli
- 39.Kasimayan
- 40.A.Arasappan
- 41.C.Natarajan
- 42.Muthukanni
- 43.M.Jeyakodi
- 44.S.maran
- 45.S.Pandi
- 46.S.Prabhakaran
- 47.K.Rajakilli
- 48.P.Natarajan
- 49.M.Nagarajan
- 50.C.Muthulakshmi
- 51.Rengasamy
- 52.Munieswaran
- 53.P.Ganesan
- 54.R.Shanmugasundaram
- 55.R.Kannan
- 56.A.Pandiyan
- 57.M.Muthu
- 58.K.Jeyakodi
- 59.Muthuiruleeye
- 60.S.R.Gupendran
- 61.O.S.Murugan
- 62.P.Packiam
- 63.K.Palanisamy
- 64.Sujithra
- 65.Haridevi
- 66.R.Venkatraman
- 67.M.Ganesan
- 68.S.Arumugam
- 69.K.Krishnan
- 70.S.Marimuthu
- 71.M.Jeyaraman
- 72.P.Mayandi
- 73.Thangaiya
- 74.S.Madasami
- 75.M.Subbiah
- 76.S.Vellaisamy
- 77.D.Murugesan
- 78.Mutusami



- 79.Arumagampillai
- 80.B.Chandra
- 81.V.Mathuram
- 82.Ukkirapandi
- 83.R.Eswaran
- 84.B.Pachiyalakshmi
- 85.C.Sadamayathevar
- 86.M.Periyakaruppan
- 87.K.R.Veeranathevar
- 88.S.B.Rajamani
- 89.B.Mayan
- 90.B.Mahindar
- 91.K.Krishnan
- 92.M.Pandiyarajan
- 93.K.Krishnan
- 94.R.K.Baskaran
- 95.A.Pandiyan
- 96.Karthaigaisami
- 97.P.Natarajan
- 98.A.Thevajothi
- 99.M.Rathimathuram
- 100.D.Vijaianand
- 101.A.Thevajothi
- 102.TNSTC
- 103.K.Sureshkumar
- 104.B.Chellammal
- 105.Pandithurai
- 106.A.Natarajan

(RR - 6 to 106 impleaded vide
order dated 04.04.2014)
made in MP(MD)No.2/2014
in WP(MD)NO.5597/2014.

... Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus, direct the 4th respondent to take immediate steps to bring all the shops at Usilampatti Bus stand by way of Public Auction since the license for the shops expires on 31.3.2014.

For Petitioner	: Mr.Niranjana S.Kumar
For 3 rd Respondent	: Mr.A.K.Baskarapandian Special Government Pleader.
For Respondents 33, 34, 36, 37, 45, 64, 85 and 90	: Mr.K.R.Laxman
For Respondents 4 and 5	: Mr.K.Mahendran
For Other Respondents	: No appearance

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by **R. SUDHAKAR, J.**)

This writ petition has been filed in public interest,
seeking a Writ of Mandamus to direct the fourth respondent to take
immediate steps to bring all the shops at Usilampatti Bus stand by



way of public auction since the license for the shops expires on 31.03.2014.

2. Heard both sides.

3. The grievance of the petitioner is that the representation dated 17.03.2014 submitted by the petitioner, has not been considered so far and hence, this writ petition.

4. Though the petitioner has sought for the relief as above, the learned Counsel for the petitioner would submit that the representation dated 17.03.2014 submitted by the petitioner may be directed to be considered by the fourth respondent.

5. Accordingly, without going into the merits of the petitioner's claim, the fourth respondent is directed to verify the nature of the complaint made by the petitioner and consider the representation of the petitioner dated 17.03.2014 on its own merit and in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the authority concerned is free to deal with the matter on its own merit as per law.

6. With the above direction, this writ petition stands disposed of. No costs. Consequently, the connected M.P. is closed.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar(Crl.Side)

/True copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

mj

To

1.The Commissioner of Municipal Administration,Chepauk, Chennai 5.

2.The Regional Director of Municipal Administration,
Kochadai, Madurai.

3.The District Collector,
Madurai District Collectorate, Madurai.

4.The Commissioner,
Usilampatti Municipality, Usilampatti, Madurai District.

5.The Chairman,
Usilampatti Municipality, Usilampatti

+1cc to Mr.K.Mahendran, Advocate Sr No.47355

+1cc to Special Government Pleader SR No.47425

Writ Petition (MD) No.5597 of 2014
17.08.2015

rg.23.09.2015/SK/SKN 4p/8c