



BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED: 29.10.2015

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE R.SUDHAKAR
and**THE HONOURABLE MS.JUSTICE V.M.VELUMANI**

Writ Petition (MD) No.19579 of 2015

Thangam

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The Director,
Rural Development and Director of
Panchayat Raj, Panagal Maligai,
Chennai.

2.The District Collector,
Sivagangai District,
Sivagangai.

3.The Deputy Director of Village Panchayat,
Sivagangai District,
Sivagangai.

4.Eswaran,
The President,
T.Puliyangulam Panchayat,
Tiruppuvanam Taluk,
Sivagangai District.

... Respondents

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a Writ of Mandamus directing the 1 to 3 Respondents to take action against the 4th Respondent and consequently remove from the Post of President of T.Puliyangulam Panchayat, Tiruppuvanam Taluk, Sivagangai District and to recover the public money from the 4th Respondent based on the representation dated 18.08.2015.

For Petitioner	: Mr.V.Malaiyendran
For Respondents 1-3	: Mr.A.K.Baskarapandian, Special Government Pleader.
For 4 th Respondent	: Mr.R.Udayakumar

ORDER

(Order of the Court was made by R.SUDHAKAR,J.)

The petitioner has come forward with this writ petition seeking for a direction to the respondents 1 to 3 to take action against the fourth respondent and consequently to remove from the post of President, T.Puliyangulam Panchayat, Tiruppuvanam Taluk, Sivagangai District and



to recover public money from the fourth respondent based on the representation of the petitioner dated 18.08.2015.

2. According to the petitioner, the fourth respondent has misappropriated the funds of the village panchayat and therefore, he has sent representation on 18.08.2015 to the respondents 1 to 3. Since no order has been passed, the present writ petition has been filed.

3. Mr. R. Udayakumar, learned counsel appearing for the fourth respondent submitted that the allegation made against the fourth respondent is only out of malice and personal vendetta and there is absolutely no substance in it.

4. We only expect the District Collector, to look into the complaint and to take a pragmatic view of the matter and no harassment is caused to the fourth respondent merely on the basis of the allegation of the petitioner unless it is substantiated by material.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

Sd/-

Assistant Registrar (CSII)

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar

sms

To

1. The Director,
Rural Development and Director of
Panchayat Raj, Panagal Maligai,
Chennai.

2. The District Collector,
Sivagangai District,
Sivagangai.

3. The Deputy Director of Village Panchayat,
Sivagangai District,
Sivagangai.

+1CC to Mr. V. Malaiyendran Advocate Sr.No.63621

+1CC to Mr. Udhayakumar Advocate Sr.No.63322

GJM/ARK-IK/17.11.2015-2P-6C

Writ Petition (MD) No.19579 of 2015
29.10.2015