



WEB COPY

BEFORE THE MADURAI BENCH OF MADRAS HIGH COURT

DATED : 28.10.2015

CORAM:

THE HONOURABLE Mr.JUSTICE T.RAJA

W.P. (MD) No.19471 of 2015

and

M.P. (MD) No.1 of 2015

M.Mohammed Ansar

... Petitioner

Vs.

1.The District Collector cum
Regional Transport Authority,
Collectorate, Trichy.

2.The Divisional Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation Ltd.,
D.M.City,
Trichy District.

3.The General Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation Ltd.,
Trichy District.

4.The Branch Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation Ltd.,
Lalgudi,
Trichy District.

5.The Branch Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation Ltd.,
Rock Fort Branch,
Trichy District.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Petition is filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, to issue a Writ of Mandamus, forbearing the respondents 2 to 5 from interfering the operation of the petitioner's mini buses bearing registration No.TN-49/R-6426 and TN-65/E-9491 (since replaced) operating on the route Samayapuram Kadai Veethi Pillaiyar Kovil to Lalgudi bus stand with opposite direction.

For Petitioner : Mr.A.C.Asaitambi

For Respondents : Mr.T.R.Janarthanam, AGP for R1
Mr.D.Sivaraman for R2 to 5



interfering with the operation of the petitioner's mini buses bearing registration Nos.TN-49/R-6426 and TN-65/E-9491 operating on the route Samayapuram Kadai Veethi Pillaiyar Kovil to Lalgudi bus stand with opposite direction.

2.Mr.T.R.Janarthanam, learned Additional Government Pleader takes notice for the 1st respondent. Mr.D.Sivaraman, learned standing counsel takes notice for the respondents 2 to 5. By consent, the Writ petition itself is taken up for final disposal.

3.The case of the petitioner is that when the petitioner is operating his mini bus from Samayapuram Kadai Veethi Pillaiyar Kovil to Lalgudi bus stand with opposite direction as per the permit condition, the respondents 3 to 5, without any authority whatsoever, has interfered with the petitioner's vehicle. But, the petitioner, who is approaching this Court, has not produced any particulars like, date, time, year and also the particulars of the vehicle stopped by the Respondents.

4.The learned counsel for the petitioner has brought to the notice of this Court to the representations of the petitioner dated 22.09.2015 and 15.10.2015.

5.Even in the said representations, there is no details like on what date, month, year the petitioner's vehicle has been prevented from plying. Therefore this Court is not able to see any details neither in the affidavit filed in support of this Writ petition nor in the representations about the stoppage of the mini bus of the petitioner. Such a vague prayer cannot be entertained. Accordingly, the Writ petition is dismissed. No costs. Consequently, connected M.P.is closed.

Sd/-
Assistant Registrar

/True Copy/

Sub Assistant Registrar (C.S)
Madurai Bench of Madras High Court,
Madurai.

To

1.The District Collector cum
Regional Transport Authority,
Collectorate, Trichy.

2.The Divisional Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation Ltd.,
D.M.City,
Trichy District.

3.The General Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation Ltd.,
Trichy District.

4.The Branch Manager,
<https://hcservices.ecourts.gov.in/hcservices/>
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation Ltd.,
Lalgudi,
Trichy District.



5.The Branch Manager,
Tamil Nadu State Transport Corporation Ltd.,
Rock Fort Branch,
Trichy District.

WEB COPY

+1cc to M/s.D.Sivaraman, Advocate in SR.No. 63060

+1cc to to the Special Government Pleader in SR.No. 63412

TS/24.11.2015/ 3P-8C/GSV-PM/

W.P. (MD) No.19471 of 2015

28.10.2015